




Post Oak Savannah
Groundwater Conservation District

DRAFT

2025 Assessment of Compliance with Desired Future Conditions and Protective Drawdown Limits, Post Oak Savannah Groundwater Conservation District



Prepared by:



INTERA Incorporated
9600 Great Hills Trail, Suite 300W
Suite 300W
Austin, TX 78759
512.425.2000

AUGUST 6, 2025



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The report documents the Post Oak Savannah Groundwater Conservation District (POSGCD) 2025 assessment of compliance with its Desired Future Conditions (DFCs) and Protective Drawdown Limits (PDLs). Consistent with adopted DFCs in GMA 12, POSGCD defined the DFCs listed in Tables **ES-1** and **ES-2** for seven aquifers. The DFCs are expressed as an average drawdown that occurs across the entire extent of each aquifer within POSGCD boundaries that the TWDB has designated with a Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) concentration less than 3,000 mg/L. Throughout the report the ending time of December 2069 is often referred to as 2070, meaning January 1, 2070.

Table ES-1. GMA 12 and POSGCD adopted DFCs based on the average drawdown that occurs between January 2011 and December 2069.

Aquifer	Drawdown (ft)
Sparta	32
Queen City	30
Carrizo	146
Upper Wilcox (Calvert Bluff Fm)	156
Middle Wilcox (Simsboro Fm)	278
Lower Wilcox (Hooper Fm)	178

Table ES-2. GMA 12 and POSGCD adopted DFCs based on the average drawdown that occurs between January 2011 and December 2069.

Aquifer	Drawdown (ft)
Yegua-Jackson	61

POSGCD has divided most of the DFC Management Zones into two to three Management Areas. Most of the Management Areas are regulated by PDLs. Management Areas were created for the purpose of improving the District's ability to manage and regulate water level change across the portion of the District's aquifers where the majority of wells are located. The up-dip most area of the aquifer that includes the aquifer outcrop is represented by PDL Management Area 1. Management Area 1 has the largest proportion of the monitoring well network, and thus the highest confidence in the estimated water level and drawdown surfaces. **Table ES-3** summarizes the PDLs that have been adopted by POSGCD.

Table ES-3. PDLs for Management Areas.

Aquifer	Average Drawdown Measured from January 2011 to December 2070	
	Management Area 1	Management Area 2
Sparta	28	N/A
Queen City	19	N/A
Carrizo	75	175
Calvert Bluff	88	223



Aquifer	Average Drawdown Measured from January 2011 to December 2070	
	Management Area 1	Management Area 2
Simsboro	91	335
Hooper	54	N/A

To help ensure that management actions can occur prior to DFCs and PDLs being exceeded, the POSGCD has defined action levels (identified in POSGCD Groundwater Rule 16.4). Threshold Level 1 is triggered when the average drawdown calculated from the measured water levels is greater than 50 percent of a DFC or 50 percent of a PDL. Threshold Level 2 is triggered by either an average drawdown that is greater than 60 percent of a DFC or 60 percent of a PDL. If Threshold Level 1 is triggered, then hydrogeologic studies will be performed. A possible outcome of these studies is that schedules may be developed to curtail groundwater production in the affected Management Areas and/or Zones. If Threshold Level 2 is triggered, the District may notify well-owners of possible plans of curtailment of productions in affected Management Zones pending results from the Threshold Level 1 studies.

The data required to assess compliance with DFCs is water level data measured from POSGCD monitoring wells. The POSGCD Monitoring Well network currently consists of 475 wells and the network is continually being reviewed and updated to include additional monitoring wells to improve the ability to monitor aquifer conditions. Table ES-4 summarizes the evaluation of DFC compliance for the seven aquifers that were evaluated.

Table ES-4. Evaluation of DFC Compliance based on Comparison with Average Drawdown Calculated from the DFC base year until 2025 using Measured Water Levels.

Management Zone	2070 DFC (ft)	Avg. Drawdown (ft) / % of DFC	Compliant With DFC
Sparta	32	8.7 (27.2%)	Yes
Queen City	30	14.0 (46.5%)	Yes
Carrizo	146	103.8 (71.1%)	Yes
Calvert Bluff (Upper Wilcox)	156	46.0 (29.5%)	Yes
Simsboro (Middle Wilcox)	278	113.9 (41.0%)	Yes
Hooper (Lower Wilcox)	178	99.2 (55.7%)	Yes
Yegua-Jackson	61	2.6 (4.3%)	Yes

The evaluation shows that all seven aquifers for which there are drawdown-based DFCs are compliant with the DFCs. For the 2025 measured water level values, the aquifer with the greatest amount of drawdown relative to the aquifer's DFC is the Carrizo, which had an average drawdown of 103.8 ft in 2025. This drawdown represents 71.1% of the Carrizo 146 ft DFC average drawdown in 2070, which exceeds the Threshold Level 2. The Hooper has an average drawdown of 103.8 ft in 2025. This drawdown represents 55.7% of the Hooper 178 ft DFC average drawdown in 2070, which exceeds the Threshold Level 1.

Table ES-5 summarizes the evaluation of PDL compliance in 2025 for six aquifers. All six aquifers are compliant with their PDLs. For the 2025 measured water level values, the aquifer with the greatest



amount of drawdown relative to the aquifer's PDL is the Carrizo Management Area #2, which had an average drawdown of 133.5 ft or 76.3 % of the 175 ft PDL in 2070. This average drawdown for the Carrizo Management Area #2 exceeds 75% of the PDL, which is the Threshold Level 3. The Queen City Aquifer exhibits drawdowns that are 61.4% of its PDL which places it in Threshold Level 2.

Table ES-5. Evaluation of PDL Compliance based on Comparison with Average Drawdown Calculated from the DFC base year until 2025 using Measured Water Levels.

Management Area		2070 PDL (ft)	Avg. Drawdown (ft) / % of DFC	Compliant With PDL
Sparta	Area 1	28	8.9 (22.2%)	Yes
Queen City	Area 1	19	11.7 (61.4%)	Yes
Carrizo	Area 1	75	34.1 (45.4%)	Yes
	Area 2	175	133.5 (76.3%)	No
Calvert Bluff (Upper Wilcox)	Area 1	88	31.0 (35.2%)	Yes
	Area 2	223	63.1 (28.3%)	Yes
Simsboro (Middle Wilcox)	Area 1	91	8.1 (8.9%)	Yes
	Area 2	335	147.8 (44.1%)	Yes
Hooper (Lower Wilcox)	Area 1	54	16.6 (30.7%)	Yes



Table of Contents

1.0	Introduction	1
1.1	Post Oak Savannah Groundwater Conservation District	1
1.1.1	DFC Management Zones	2
1.1.2	PDL Management Areas	3
1.2	Report Organization	3
2.0	Groundwater Management Plan	6
2.1	DFC Management Zones and PDL Management Areas	6
2.2	Desired Future Conditions	7
2.3	Protective Drawdown Limits	7
2.4	Threshold Limits for DFCs and PDLs	8
3.0	POSGCD Water Level Monitoring Well Network	11
3.1	Monitor Well Network	11
3.2	Measurement of Water Levels	11
4.0	Compliance Evaluation	14
4.1	DFC Compliance Evaluation	14
4.1.1	Methods for Spatial Interpolation of Measured Water Levels	14
4.1.2	Evaluation of Water Levels for DFC Compliance	16
4.2	PDL Compliance Evaluation	20
4.2.1	Method	20
4.2.2	Evaluation of Water Levels for PDL Compliance	20
5.0	References	29

List of Appendices

Appendix A	2025 Water Level Surfaces Created by Applying Topo to Raster to Water Levels
Appendix B	2025 Water Level Surfaces Created by Applying Ordinary Kriging to Water Levels
Appendix C	2025 Water Level Surfaces Created by Applying Ordinary Kriging to Water Level Residuals
Appendix D	Table of Wells and Water Levels Used in 2011 DFC Compliance Calculations
Appendix E	Table of Wells and Water Levels Used in 2025 DFC Compliance Calculations

List of Figures

Figure 1-1.	Counties and groundwater districts associated with Groundwater Management Areas 8 and 12. ...	4
Figure 1-2.	Map of aquifer outcrops based on information extracted from Groundwater Availability Models (Young and others, 2020).	5
Figure 2-1.	POSGCD total aquifer Management Zones for evaluating GMA 12 DFCs.	9
Figure 2-2.	POSGCD PDL Management Areas for evaluating District PDLs.	10
Figure 3-1.	POSGCD monitoring well network consisting of 475 wells with measurements in 2024 and/or 2025.	12
Figure 3-2.	Monitoring well locations used in drawdown calculations.	13



Figure 4-1.	Diagram showing the process of interpolation of measured water levels in the Simsboro Aquifer to determine the average drawdown from 2000 to 2021.	23
Figure 4-2.	Comparison of drawdown generated for the Carrizo for the time interval 2011 to 2025 by three different spatial interpolation methods: topo2raster (T2R), ordinary kriging with water levels (KWL), and ordinary kriging with residuals (KRS). The upper three plots compare contours of drawdown and the lower three plots how average drawdown of the three methods over time as determined from the base year 2011.....	24
Figure 4-3.	Comparison of average drawdown and drawdowns calculated from the year 2011 using the spatial interpolation methods topo to raster (T2R), kriging with water levels (KWL), and kriging with residuals (KRS) for the Sparta, Queen City, Carrizo, Calver Bluff, Simsboro, and Hooper aquifers Management Zones.	25
Figure 4-4.	Comparison of average drawdown and drawdowns calculated from the year 2010 using the spatial interpolation methods topo to raster (T2R) and kriging with water levels (KWL) Yegua-Jackson aquifer Management Zone.	26
Figure 4-5.	Comparison of average drawdown and drawdowns calculated from the year 2011 using the spatial interpolation methods topo to raster (T2R), kriging with water levels (KWL), and kriging with residuals (KRS) for Management Area 1 for the Sparta, Queen City, Carrizo, Calver Bluff, Simsboro, and Hooper aquifers.	27
Figure 4-6.	Comparison of average drawdown and drawdowns calculated from the year 2011 using the spatial interpolation methods topo to raster (T2R), kriging with water levels (KWL), and kriging with residuals (KRS) for the Management Area 2 for the Sparta, Queen City, Carrizo, Calver Bluff, Simsboro, and Hooper aquifers.	28

List of Tables

Table 1-1	Generalized stratigraphic column for POSGCD.....	2
Table 2-1.	POSGCD DFCs for the Sparta, Queen City, Carrizo, Calvert Bluff, Simsboro, and Hooper Aquifers. ..	7
Table 2-2.	Adopted DFCs for the Yegua-Jackson Aquifer.....	7
Table 2-3.	Protective Drawdown Limits (PDLs) for Management Areas.	8
Table 4-1.	Evaluation of DFC compliance for 2025 based on the three Threshold limits for the Sparta, Queen City, Carrizo, Calvert Bluff, Simsboro, and Hooper aquifers.	17
Table 4-2.	Evaluation of DFC compliance for 2024 and 2025 based on the three Threshold limits for the Sparta, Queen City, Carrizo, Calvert Bluff, Simsboro, and Hooper aquifers.....	18
Table 4-3.	Evaluation of DFC compliance for 2025 for Yegua-Jackson aquifer.....	19
Table 4-4.	Evaluation of DFC compliance for 2024 and 2025 based for the Yegua-Jackson aquifer.	19
Table 4-5.	Evaluation of PDL compliance for 2025 based on the three Threshold limits for the Sparta, Queen City, Carrizo, Calvert Bluff, Simsboro, and Hooper aquifers.	21
Table 4-6.	Evaluation of PDL compliance for 2024 and 2025 based on the three Threshold limits for the Sparta, Queen City, Carrizo, Calvert Bluff, Simsboro, and Hooper aquifers.....	22



ACROYNMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

%	percent
DFC	Desired Future Condition
ft	feet
GAM	groundwater availability model
GCD	groundwater conservation district
GMA	groundwater Management Area
HB	house bill
PDL	Protective Drawdown Limit
POSGCD	Post Oak Groundwater Conservation District
TWC	Texas Water Code
TWDB	Texas Water Development Board



1.0 Introduction

This report documents the 2025 assessment of compliance with the Post Oak Savannah Groundwater Conservation District (POSGCD) Desired Future Conditions (DFCs) and the Protective Drawdown Limits (PDLs). The methods used to assess compliance in this report are documented in a report entitled “Post Oak Savannah Guidance Document for Evaluating Compliance with Desired Future Conditions and Protective Drawdown Limits” and completed in 2025 (INTERA, 2025). The assessment approach is designed to be adaptive and to be revised, if deemed appropriate, in response to new information, changes in site conditions, and changes in the District Rules.

1.1 Post Oak Savannah Groundwater Conservation District

The POSGCD was created in Milam and Burleson counties by House Bill (HB) 1784, 77th Legislature, 2001, and a local confirmation election in November 2002. The purpose of this bill is to provide a locally controlled groundwater district to conserve and preserve groundwater, protect groundwater users, protect and recharge groundwater, prevent pollution or waste of groundwater in the central Carrizo-Wilcox area, control subsidence caused by withdrawal of water from the groundwater reservoirs in that area, and regulate the transport of water out of the boundaries of the districts.

The POSGCD is a member of GMA 12 and GMA 8, whose areal extents are shown in **Figure 1-1**. To help establish DFCs for the relevant aquifers within the boundaries of Groundwater Management Areas (GMAs) 8 and 12, POSGCD will consider groundwater availability models (GAMs) and other data or information. As part of the joint planning process, POSGCD will establish management goals and objectives that are consistent with the DFCs adopted by GMA 8 and GMA 12.

Table 1-1 provides stratigraphic column of the formations and aquifers located within the District boundaries. **Figure 1-2** shows the locations of the outcrops of these formation and aquifers based on the surface geology mapped by Young and others (2018), Ewing and Jigmond (2016), and Deeds and others (2010). An outcrop is where an aquifer is present at ground surface. The aquifers in the District generally dip to the southeast at slopes of about 100 to 150 feet (ft) per mile. Within the District, the Trinity Aquifer does not outcrop and is overlaid primarily by the Midway Formation. The Midway Formation outcrops in the northwestern portion of Milam County. The Midway Formation is an aquitard separating the older Northern Trinity Aquifer from the younger Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer. An aquitard is a formation that is composed primarily of clayey deposits that allows only small amounts of groundwater to flow. As a general rule, aquitards do not contain sufficiently permeable deposits to support sustained pumping. Therefore, the District has not established DFCs for the Midway formation and two other aquicludes listed in Table 1-1, which are the Reklaw and Weches formations.



Table 1-1 Generalized stratigraphic column for POSGCD

ERA	Period	Age (M.Y.)	Hydrostratigraphic Unit	Hydrogeologic Unit
Cenozoic	Tertiary	33.9	Jackson	Yegua-Jackson Aquifer
			Yegua	
			Sparta	Sparta Aquifer
			Weches	Aquitard (mud)
			Queen City	Queen City Aquifer
			Reklaw	Aquitard (mud)
		55.8	Carrizo	Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer
			Upper Wilcox/Calvert Bluff	
			Middle Wilcox/Simsboro	
			Lower Wilcox/Hooper	
		65.5	Midway	Aquitard (mud)
Mesozoic	Cretaceous		Trinity	Trinity Aquifer

The Trinity Aquifer is not considered a relevant aquifer in the District. The Midway aquitard overlying the Trinity Aquifer outcrops in the far northwestern portions of Milam County, but the Trinity Aquifer is only present in the subsurface and is not covered in this document.

The District is divided into Groundwater Management Zones and Management Areas. This recognizes the different characteristics and anticipates future development of the aquifers in the District when evaluating and managing groundwater resources. The District has adopted DFCs through the joint planning process for each of the Management Zones. The District has adopted PDLs for several of the Management Areas. Currently, the District's DFCs and PDLs represent drawdown limits over fixed time intervals.

The objective of this scientific report is to assess the District's compliance with its DFCs and PDLs. The report assembles and analyzes measured water levels to determine the amount of drawdown that has occurred across those Management Zones with drawdown-based DFCs and within Management Areas with a PDL. Due to the adaptive nature of the joint-planning process and the District Management Plan, this report includes a section which considers potential future improvements of monitoring data and an assessment of the methodology and associated assumptions.

1.1.1 DFC Management Zones

Chapter 36 of the Texas Water Code (TWC) provides statutory guidance for groundwater conservation districts (GCDs). Chapter 36.108 describes the GCD requirements for joint-planning and compliance with DFCs. For permitting of groundwater within the District, Chapter 36.1132(b) states that districts "shall manage total groundwater production on a long-term basis to achieve an applicable desired future condition." In response to these duties, the District Groundwater Management Plan requires that the District assess compliance with DFCs at least every three years using water levels measured in the District monitoring wells.



The principal aquifers that are managed by the District include the Sparta, Queen City, Carrizo, Calvert Bluff, Simsboro, and Hooper aquifers. The boundaries for the Management Zones for these six aquifers are conterminous with boundaries of the aquifers they represent. The monitoring well network for each total Management Zone is used to estimate a drawdown surface. This drawdown surface is then averaged over the total Management Zone area and evaluated relative to the DFC. The methods in which DFCs are assessed are further discussed in Section 4.

1.1.2 PDL Management Areas

The District has subdivided the DFC Management Zones of its six principal aquifers into two to three Management Areas. The creation of the Management Areas was performed with heavy consideration for the spatial distribution of the existing wells, especially monitoring wells, across each aquifer. Generally, the upmost dip portion of an aquifer (designated as PDL Management Area 1) has the highest number and distribution of monitoring wells. By carving out a PDL Management Area that contains a greater density of monitoring wells than is contained across an aquifer's DFC Management Zone, the average drawdown can be determined with greater accuracy and higher confidence than the average drawdown for the larger zone. There are no statutory requirements in Chapter 36 for PDLs. The current set of District rules require that PDL compliance be assessed at the same schedule as DFC compliance. The methods in which PDLs are assessed are discussed in Section 4.

1.2 Report Organization

The report will be organized starting in Section 2 with a review of the key management plan concepts as they relate to the long-term compliance with the DFCs and a discussion of the PDLs developed to protect production capacity of existing wells in the shallow unconfined portions of District aquifers. The fundamental data used to assess compliance is drawdown, which is the mathematical difference between two water levels measured in the same well at two different times. Water levels are monitored by the District through a monitoring well program, and Section 3 of the report will describe the monitoring network and the associated measurements. Section 4 of the report will provide the details of the assessment for both DFCs and PDLs. Section 5 will complete the report by making observations and recommendations for improving both the collection and the use of water levels to assess future compliance with DFCs and PDLs.




Figure 1-1. Counties and groundwater districts associated with Groundwater Management Areas 8 and 12.




Figure 1-2. Map of aquifer outcrops based on information extracted from Groundwater Availability Models (Young and others, 2020).



2.0 Groundwater Management Plan

Chapter 36.1071 of the TWC requires that a District develop a Groundwater Management Plan that addresses several possible management goals, including the management goals for addressing the DFCs adopted by the District under Chapter 36.108. Consistent with these requirements, the District will use measured water levels from its monitoring wells to determine compliance with its DFCs at least once every three years. This commitment is stated in Section 17.10 of POSGCD's Management Plan (POSGCD, 2022) titled "Desired Future Conditions and Protective Drawdown Limits." **Exhibit 1** is an image of Section 17.10 of POSGCD Management Plan.

Section 17.10 requires POSGCD to assess their compliance with both DFCs and PDLs at least once every three years.

17.10 Desired Future Conditions and Protective Drawdown Limits¶

Management Objective:¶

At least once every three years, the District will monitor water levels and evaluate whether the change in water levels is in conformance with the DFCs and PDLs adopted by the District. The District will estimate total annual groundwater production for each aquifer based on the water use reports, estimated exempted use, and other relevant information, and compare these production estimates to the MAGs listed in Table 8-1.¶

Performance Standards:¶

1. → At least once every three years, the general manager will report to the Board the measured water levels obtained from the monitoring wells within each Management Zone/Area, the average measured drawdown for each Management Zone/Area calculated from the measured water levels of the monitoring wells within the Management Zone/Area, a comparison of the average measured drawdowns for each Management Zone with the DFCs/PDLs for each Management Zone/Area, and the District's progress in conforming with the DFCs/PDLs.¶
2. → At least once every three years, the general manager will report to the Board the total permitted production and the estimated total annual production for each aquifer and compare these amounts to the MAGs listed in Table 8-1 for each aquifer.¶

Exhibit 1. Section 17.10 Desired Future Conditions and Protective Drawdown Limits from Post Oak Savannah Management Plan (POSGCD, 2022).

2.1 DFC Management Zones and PDL Management Areas

Figure 2-1 shows DFC Management Zones for the Sparta, Queen City, Carrizo, Calvert Bluff, Simsboro and Hooper aquifers. **Figure 2-2** shows the Management Areas for these six aquifers. The DFCs and the PDLs were developed to be consistent with the simulated drawdowns from Groundwater Management Area 12 simulations that are described in the GMA 12 Explanatory Report (D.B Stephens & Associates and others, 2022). The DFCs and the PDLs are described in the next two subsections.



2.2 Desired Future Conditions

Table 2-1 lists the POSGCD DFCs for the Sparta, Queen City, Carrizo, Calvert Bluff, Simsboro, and Hooper aquifers. These DFCs were developed as part of GMA 12 joint planning process and are based on a GAM simulation using pumping file S-19 (D.B Stephens & Associates and others, 2022). Pumping file S-19 was assembled by GMA 12 to represent the pumping associated with the aforementioned six aquifers from 2011 to 2070. The pumping estimate began in 2010 because the calibration of the GAM (Young and others, 2018) for the six aquifers ends in 2011.

Table 2-1. POSGCD DFCs for the Sparta, Queen City, Carrizo, Calvert Bluff, Simsboro, and Hooper Aquifers.

Aquifer	2021 Joint Planning
	Average Drawdown (ft) between January 2011 and January 2070
Sparta	32
Queen City	30
Carrizo	146
Calvert Bluff (Upper Wilcox)	156
Simsboro (Middle Wilcox)	278
Hooper (Lower Wilcox)	178

Table 2-2 lists the POSGCD DFCs for the Yegua-Jackson Aquifer. The DFC was developed as part of GMA 12 joint planning process and is based on a GAM simulation using pumping file YGSK-PS2 (D.B Stephens & Associates and others, 2022). Pumping file YGSK-PS2 was assembled by GMA 12 to represent the pumping associated with Yegua-Jackson Aquifer from 1998 to 2070. The pumping estimate began in 1998 because the calibration of the GAM (Deeds and others, 2010) for the six aquifers ended in 1997.

Table 2-2. Adopted DFCs for the Yegua-Jackson Aquifer.

Aquifer	2021 Joint Planning
	Average Drawdown between January 2010 and January 2070 (ft)
Yegua-Jackson	61

2.3 Protective Drawdown Limits

For several Management Areas, the District has adopted a PDL, which represents an average drawdown across the Management Area measured from January 2011 to December 2070. The PDLs were adopted to improve the District's ability to manage and regulate water level change across the portion of the District's aquifers where the majority of wells are located. The PDLs were developed using GMA 12 pumping file S-19, which is the pumping file used by GMA 12 to develop the DFCs for each of the Management Zones that have been divided into Management Areas. The PDLs are therefore considered to be physically compatible with all the DFCs adopted by GMA 12. **Table 2-3** lists the PDLs for selected Management Areas, which are illustrated in **Figure 2-2**.



Table 2-3. Protective Drawdown Limits (PDLs) for Management Areas.

Aquifer	Average Drawdown Measured from January 2010 to December 2070	
	Management Area 1	Management Area 2
Sparta	28	N/A
Queen City	19	N/A
Carrizo	75	175
Calvert Bluff	88	223
Simsboro	91	335
Hooper	54	N/A

2.4 Threshold Limits for DFCs and PDLs

POSGCD has established three threshold levels (identified in POSGCD Groundwater Rule 16.4) to help achieve its DFCs and PDLs and to conserve and preserve groundwater availability and protect groundwater users. In evaluating compliance for their DFCs and PDLs, POSGCD is evaluating whether any of the thresholds have been exceeded.

Threshold Level 1 is triggered by an average drawdown that is greater than 50 percent (%) of the DFC or 50% of the PDL. Threshold Level 2 is triggered by either an average drawdown that is greater than 60% of the aquifer DFC or 60% of the aquifer PDL. If a Threshold Level 1 is triggered, hydrogeologic studies will be performed to address potential concerns about the data analysis, the cause for the drawdown, and the potential impact of the drawdown on production from the aquifer. A possible outcome of these studies is that schedules may be developed to curtail groundwater production in the affected Management Zones or Management Area. If Threshold Level 2 is triggered, the District may notify well-owners of possible plans for curtailing productions in affected Management Zones pending results from the Threshold Level 1 studies.




Figure 2-1. POSGCD total aquifer Management Zones for evaluating GMA 12 DFCs.




Figure 2-2. POSGCD PDL Management Areas for evaluating District PDLs.



3.0 POSGCD Water Level Monitoring Well Network

This section describes the monitoring network of groundwater wells that the District uses to measure changes in water levels over time.

3.1 Monitor Well Network

The POSGCD network of groundwater wells is continually being updated, primarily due to the addition of wells. At the time this document was prepared, the POSGCD Monitoring Well network consists of more than 580 wells. 450 of the wells, those which had usable water levels in 2024 or 2025, are shown in **Figure 3-1**. The POSGCD monitoring database includes, but is not limited to, the following information for each monitoring well: spatial coordinates, well depth, screened interval, and aquifer assignment. POSGCD annually reviews and verifies aquifer assignments according to the latest data available and through collaboration with neighboring GCDs and the Texas Water Development Board (TWDB). Diagrams of the constructions for most of the monitoring wells are presented in the POSGCD monitoring guidance document (INTERA, 2021). The POSGCD monitoring guidance document provides guidelines for the collection and analysis of measured water levels. Updates to the well construction diagrams occur when the guidance document is revised.

Figure 3-2 shows the monitoring wells that were used to map annual changes in water level in 2025. As funding becomes available, POSGCD will expand the seasonal and continual measurements of water levels at its monitoring wells.

3.2 Measurement of Water Levels

The water levels used in the compliance evaluation of DFCs and PDLs were measured between January 1st and April 30th. During the four month interval from January to April, water levels are typically at their highest elevation and pumping rates are near their annual lows. The majority of the water levels are measured manually with an e-line tape. The monitoring protocols used by POSGCD for measuring water levels are described by INTERA (2022). 114 monitoring wells used in this study are equipped with either a transducer and data logger or WellIntel equipment to measure and record water levels regularly at 4-hours interval or less. The 2025 DFC evaluation incorporates continuous monitoring of Carrizo and/or Simsboro water levels in the Vista Ridge, Blue Water 130 Project, and SLR pumping wells to better assess the influence of these pumping centers on local to regional aquifer drawdown.

For each well with water level data, an average water level elevation was calculated for each year of data. Depending on the availability of data, either a three-year or two-year moving average is calculated using these annual averages and used for the compliance calculations. Appendices D and E present the moving averages.




Figure 3-1. POSGCD monitoring well network consisting of 475 wells with measurements in 2024 and/or 2025.




Figure 3-2. Monitoring well locations used in drawdown calculations.



4.0 Compliance Evaluation

This section provides a discussion of the DFC and PDL compliance evaluation. For each evaluation, the section explains the methodology and provides the results of the evaluation.

4.1 DFC Compliance Evaluation

Districts have a requirement under TWC Chapter 36.1132(b) to manage total groundwater production on a long-term basis to achieve DFCs. The DFCs for aquifers within the District are long-term management goals that are expressed as average aquifer drawdown that has occurred since the start of the DFC compliance period. For all aquifers in GMA 12, the start of the DFC compliance period is January 2011 except for the Yegua-Jackson Aquifer. The start of the DFC compliance period for the Yegua-Jackson Aquifer is January 2010.

The POSGD evaluation can be divided into the following five steps:

- 1) Identify which of the measured water levels are appropriate for the evaluation and determine a single annual value for each well by averaging multiple measurements, if they exist.
- 2) Calculate a three-year moving average for each well for 2024 and a two-year moving average for each well in 2025.
- 3) Interpolate the averaged water levels by aquifer to produce a continuous set of values and contours of water level elevation across the entire aquifer for the DFC baseline year (2010 or 2011) and for the current year (2025).
- 4) Generate a continuous set of drawdown values and drawdown contours across the entire aquifer based on the difference in water levels for the DFC baseline year and the current year.
- 5) Determine the amount of change in the average water level since the baseline year.

Figure 4-1 illustrates the application of Steps 3, 4, and 5 that was performed to determine the average drawdown that had occurred in the Simsboro aquifer over the period from 2000 to 2021.

4.1.1 Methods for Spatial Interpolation of Measured Water Levels

Spatial interpolation of measured water levels is performed in order to generate a continuous set of water level values and contours of water levels across an aquifer. Since 2008, POSGCD has evaluated multiple methods for interpolating water levels and is currently using three methods in tandem. Differences in the underlying assumptions of each method can cause differences in the results of the spatial interpolations. Evaluation of the different spatial interpolations methods has shown that the similarity in the predictions of the different methods increases as the density and coverage provided by the measured water levels increases.



Currently, POSGCD's research indicates that two spatial interpretation methods are suitable for application for the seven aquifers with DFCs listed in Tables 2-1 and 2-2. These two methods are topo to raster (T2R) and ordinary kriging of water levels (KWL). For aquifers for which there is a current GAM with production rates shown to be reasonably accurate for the POSGCD area, POSGCD's research suggests that a third spatial method, ordinary kriging of residuals (KRS) is suitable for application. A brief description of the three methods is provided below:

- Topo to Raster (T2R) - The method applies inverse distance weighting (IDW) to weight the influence of measure points at unmeasured locations. In general, the mathematical influence (weight) of measured points is proportional to the distance to the unsampled location. This approach is widely used because of the relatively simple set of equations needed to estimate values at unsampled locations. A concern with using T2R is that it ignores information regarding spatial statistics (e.g. variance and correlation) and the groundwater flow patterns. Another concern is that its implementation is through a proprietary program in ArcGIS (ESRI, 2020), for which the source code is not available for review by the public.
- Ordinary Kriging with Water Levels (KWL) – Ordinary kriging is a geostatistical method that determines the influence (weight) of measured points based on a correlation coefficient at varying spatial configurations relative to unmeasured points. Ordinary kriging assumes that the data is both normally distributed and has no spatial trend (Deutsch and Journel, 1998). A concern with using ordinary kriging with water levels is that there usually is a spatial trend in the water level data in POSGCD that is manifested as a regional water level gradients toward a hydraulic boundary condition such as the Gulf of Mexico, the Brazos River, or a well field with large production.
- Ordinary Kriging with Residuals (KRS) – A first step in applying the KRS method is to develop an estimate of the water surface trend surface by smoothing a prediction of the groundwater water level from a groundwater model. The second step is to transform the set of measured water levels into a set of residuals by subtracting the water level elevation predicted by the surface trend at each well location from the measured water level elevation at the well location. Transforming the water levels into residuals is performed so that values being kriged would be more likely would be closer to a normal distribution and exhibit stationarity than the set of measured water levels.

Contours of the water level elevation based on the measured 2025 water levels are provided in Appendices A, B and C for the six aquifers with DFCs listed in **Table 2-1**. Appendices A, B, and C present results based on the spatial interpolation methods T2R, KWL, and KRS, respectively.

For the last four years, the water levels in the Carrizo Aquifer have been of primary interest to POSGCD because of the large drawdowns that have occurred since the Vista Ridge wellfield started production. The upper three plots in **Figure 4-2** show the drawdown contours generated for the Carrizo aquifer over the time period from 2011 to 2025 using the three spatial interpolation methods discussed above: T2R, KWL, and KRS. All three methods produced generally similar shaped drawdown contours and drawdown values for radial distances of more than 10 miles from the Vista Ridge well field.



4.1.2 Evaluation of Water Levels for DFC Compliance

Table 4-1 lists the key metrics associated with the DFC 2025 compliance evaluation for the Sparta, Queen City, Carrizo, Calvert Bluff, Simsboro, and Hooper aquifers. For these six aquifers, the average drawdown is measured from the baseline year 2011 and the average drawdown is calculated as the average of the three interpolation methods. **Table 4-1** lists the average drawdown determined from each of the three interpolation methods for 2025 and provides the average drawdown for the three methods. For example, the T2R, KWL, and KRS interpolation methods for the Carrizo Aquifer yield 104.4, 119.5, 87.5 feet (ft) for drawdown from 2011 to 2025, respectively. These three values produce an average drawdown of 103.8 ft. Since 60% of the Carrizo DFC of 146 ft is 87.6 ft, Threshold Level 2 is exceeded. The Hooper Aquifer exhibits average drawdowns which exceed its respective Threshold 1 Level.

Table 4-2 summarizes the DFC compliance evaluation for years 2024 and 2025 using the average drawdown calculated from the three interpolation methods. The same aquifers which exceed Thresholds 1 or 2 in 2025 also were in exceedance in 2024. It should be noted that the calculations for the 2024 DFC compliance thresholds were redone in this report, as the collection of 2025 water levels provided the third year in the 3-year moving average for 2024. Additionally, our understanding of the local geology has improved and has led to the aquifer assignment of many wells, and therefore their water level measurements, being reclassified. In order to maintain consistency, the 2011 water level surfaces used to calculate drawdown in 2024 and 2025 were regenerated using the updated aquifer assignments.

For aquifers in which POSGCD has a sparse coverage of water level records in 2011, there were concerns that the spatial interpolation using ordinary kriging and water levels may not have produced reasonable representations of the contours for the water level elevations. For both the Sparta and Queen City aquifers, their 2011 water level data were deemed to be too sparse for generating reliable variograms and water level contours. For these two aquifers, the Sparta and Queen, the T2R interpolated surface generated from the 2011 data was used as a surrogate for the ordinary kriging analysis.

Figure 4-3 shows the DFC Management Zone average drawdown values for the three interpolation methods and the average of the three methods (black points) through time. These values are compared to the Threshold Level 1, 2, and 3 values, which are depicted as horizontal lines.

Table 4-3 lists the key metrics associated with the DFC 2025 compliance evaluation for the Yegua-Jackson aquifer. For the Yegua-Jackson aquifer the KRS spatial interpolation method is not used because the Yegua-Jackson GAM (Deeds and others, 2004) has not been adequately updated since its development to be used for implementing the KRS method. As a result, **Table 4-3** only includes spatial interpolation results for the TWR and the KWL method. In 2025, the average 2010 to 2025 drawdown for the Yegua-Jackson Aquifer is 2.6 ft. **Table 4-4** lists the key metrics associated with the compliance calculations using the three-year average values for 2024.



Table 4-1. Evaluation of DFC compliance for 2025 based on the three Threshold limits for the Sparta, Queen City, Carrizo, Calvert Bluff, Simsboro, and Hooper aquifers.

Management Zone	DFC	2011 to 2025 Drawdown	Compliant with DFC
		Avg. Drawdown (ft) / % of DFC	
		Drawdown values from the T2R, KWL, and KRS methods	
Sparta	32	8.7 (27.2%)	Yes
		16.2, 4.6, 5.3	
Queen City	30	14.0 (46.5%)	Yes
		18.7, 11.0, 12.2	
Carrizo	146	103.8 (71.1%)	Yes
		104.4, 119.5, 87.5	
Calvert Bluff (Upper Wilcox)	156	46.0 (29.5%)	Yes
		61.0, 39.9, 37.0	
Simsboro (Middle Wilcox)	278	113.9 (41.0%)	Yes
		110.0, 124.0, 107.7	
Hooper (Lower Wilcox)	178	99.2 (55.7%)	Yes
		110.1, 64.1, 123.5	

Threshold 1 = 50% DFC Threshold 2 = 60% DFC

Threshold 3 = 75% DFC



Table 4-2. Evaluation of DFC compliance for 2024 and 2025 based on the three Threshold limits for the Sparta, Queen City, Carrizo, Calvert Bluff, Simsboro, and Hooper aquifers.

Management Zone	DFC	Drawdown from 2011 to 2024	Drawdown from 2011 to 2025
Sparta	32	9.2	18.5
		28.7%	27.2%
		1, -, -	1, -, -
Queen City	30	14.5	14.0
		48.4%	46.5%
		2, -, -	2, -, -
Carrizo	146	100.7	103.8
		69.0%	71.1%
		2, 3, 1	2, 3, 1
Calvert Bluff (Upper Wilcox)	156	44.6	46.0
		28.6%	29.5%
		-, -, -	-, -, -
Simsboro (Middle Wilcox)	278	109.0	113.9
		39.2%	41.0%
		-, -, -	-, -, -
Hooper (Lower Wilcox)	178	91.2	99.2
		51.2%	55.7%
		2, -, 2	2, -, 2

Threshold 1 = 50% DFC Threshold 2 = 60% DFC

Threshold 3 = 75% DFC



Table 4-3. Evaluation of DFC compliance for 2025 for Yegua-Jackson aquifer.

Management Zone	2070 DFC (ft)	2010 to 2025 Drawdown	Compliant With DFC
		Avg. Drawdown (ft) / % of DFC	
		Drawdown values from the T2R, and KWL	
Yegua-Jackson	61	2.6 (4.3%)	Yes
		3.4, 1.8	

Table 4-4. Evaluation of DFC compliance for 2024 and 2025 based for the Yegua-Jackson aquifer.

Management Zone	DFC (ft)	From 2010 to 2024	From 2010 to 2025
		Avg. Drawdown (ft) % of DFC	
		Maximum Threshold Level Violation for T2R and KWL	
Yegua-Jackson	61	3.6	2.6
		6%	4.3%
		-, -	-, -



4.2 PDL Compliance Evaluation

The PDL compliance evaluations are performed using the same workflow, data, codes, algorithms, threshold levels, and analysis that are used to perform the DFC evaluation. The primary difference is that the PDL evaluations are performed for Management Areas, whereas the DFC evaluations are performed for Management Zones.

4.2.1 Method

The method used to calculate average drawdown in the PDL Management Areas is identical to the method employed for compliance with the DFC Management Zones in which they are located. To perform the PDL evaluations, the spatial interpolations generated for the DFC evaluations are used. The only difference is that where a DFC average drawdown is calculated across a Management Zone (see Figure 2-1) a PDL average drawdown is calculated across a Management Area (see Figure 2-2).

As previously discussed, there are a total of nine Management Areas for which POSGCD has adopted PDLs. Each of the Sparta, Queen City, and the Hooper aquifers have one PDL Management Area each. Each of the Carrizo, Calvert Bluff, and Simsboro aquifers have two PDL Management Areas each.

4.2.2 Evaluation of Water Levels for PDL Compliance

Table 4-5 lists the key metrics associated with the PDL 2025 compliance evaluation for the Sparta, Queen City, Carrizo, Calvert Bluff, Simsboro, and Hooper aquifers. For these six aquifers, the average drawdown is measured from the baseline year 2011 and the average drawdown is calculated as the average of the three interpolation methods. **Table 4-5** lists the average drawdown determined from each of the three interpolation methods for 2025 and provides the average drawdown for the three methods. For example, the T2R, KWL, and KRS interpolation methods for the Carrizo Aquifer PDL Management Area 2 yields 125.3, 133.1, and 126.5 ft of drawdown from 2011 to 2025, respectively. These three values produce an average drawdown of 128.3 ft. Since 60% of the Carrizo PDL Management Area 2 of 175 ft is 105 ft, Threshold Level 2 is exceeded and therefore, the Carrizo Aquifer is not considered to be PDL compliant for Management Area 2. Seven of the eight remaining PDL Management Areas have average 2025 drawdown values that are maintained below the PDL thresholds.

Table 4-6 summarizes the PDL compliance evaluation for 2024 and 2025 using the average drawdown calculated from the three interpolation methods. **Table 4-6** shows one instance in 2025 where the average drawdown for the three interpolation methods exceeds a threshold level.

Figure 4-5 shows the PDL Management Area 1 average drawdown values for the three interpolation methods and the average value of the three methods (black points) through time. These values are compared to the Threshold Level 1, 2, and 3 values, which are depicted as horizontal lines.

Figure 4-6 shows the PDL Management Area 2 average drawdown values for the three interpolation methods and the average value of the three methods (black points) through time. These values are compared to the Threshold Level 1, 2, and 3 values, which are depicted as horizontal lines.



Table 4-5. Evaluation of PDL compliance for 2025 based on the three Threshold limits for the Sparta, Queen City, Carrizo, Calvert Bluff, Simsboro, and Hooper aquifers.

Management Area		2070 PDL (ft)	2011 to 2025 Drawdown	Compliant with PDL
			Avg. Drawdown (ft) / % of DFC	
			Drawdown values from the T2R, KWL, and KRS methods	
Sparta	Area 1	28	6.2 (22.2%)	Yes
			6.8, 3.0, 8.9	
Queen City	Area 1	19	11.7 (61.4%)	Yes
			11.7, 13.8, 9.6	
Carrizo	Area 1	75	34.1 (45.4%)	Yes
			31.9, 38.7, 31.6	
	Area 2	175	133.5 (76.3%)	Yes
			136.5, 141.4, 122.6	
Calvert Bluff (Upper Wilcox)	Area 1	88	31.0 (35.2%)	Yes
			33.6, 27.2, 32.0	
	Area 2	223	63.1 (28.3%)	Yes
			89.2, 55.6, 44.6	
Simsboro (Middle Wilcox)	Area 1	91	8.1 (8.9%)	Yes
			10.3, 5.3, 8.7	
	Area 2	335	147.8 (44.1%)	Yes
			141.5, 159.5, 142.2	
Hooper (Lower Wilcox)	Area 1	54	16.6 (30.7%)	Yes
			21.3, 9.7, 18.7	

Threshold 1 = 50% DFC Threshold 2 = 60% DFC
Threshold 3 = 75% DFC



Table 4-6. Evaluation of PDL compliance for 2024 and 2025 based on the three Threshold limits for the Sparta, Queen City, Carrizo, Calvert Bluff, Simsboro, and Hooper aquifers.

Management Area		PDL	Drawdown from 2011 to 2024	Drawdown from 2011 to 2025
Sparta	Area 1	28	8.3	6.2
			29.5%	22.2%
			- , - , -	- , - , -
Queen City	Area 1	19	11.8	11.7
			61.9%	61.4%
			2, 3, -	2, 2, 1
Carrizo	Area 1	75	28.2	34.1
			37.6%	45.4%
			- , - , -	- , 1, -
	Area 2	175	131.8	133.5
			75.3%	76.3%
Calvert Bluff (Upper Wilcox)	Area 1	88	30.6	31.0
			34.8%	35.2%
			- , - , -	- , - , -
	Area 2	223	60.6	63.1
			27.2%	28.3%
Simsboro (Middle Wilcox)	Area 1	91	- , - , -	- , - , -
			6.2	8.1
			6.8%	8.9%
	Area 2	335	141	147.8
			42.1%	44.1%
Hooper (Lower Wilcox)	Area 1	54	- , - , -	- , - , -
			14.1	16.6
			26.1%	30.7%

Threshold 1 = 50% DFC Threshold 2 = 60% DFC

Threshold 3 = 75% DFC




Figure 4-1. Diagram showing the process of interpolation of measured water levels in the Simsboro Aquifer to determine the average drawdown from 2000 to 2021.




Figure 4-2. Comparison of drawdown generated for the Carrizo for the time interval 2011 to 2025 by three different spatial interpolation methods: topo2raster (T2R), ordinary kriging with water levels (KWL), and ordinary kriging with residuals (KRS). The upper three plots compare contours of drawdown and the lower three plots how average drawdown of the three methods over time as determined from the base year 2011




Figure 4-3. Comparison of average drawdown and drawdowns calculated from the year 2011 using the spatial interpolation methods topo to raster (T2R), kriging with water levels (KWL), and kriging with residuals (KRS) for the Sparta, Queen City, Carrizo, Calvert Bluff, Simsboro, and Hooper aquifers Management Zones.




Figure 4-4. Comparison of average drawdown and drawdowns calculated from the year 2010 using the spatial interpolation methods topo to raster (T2R) and kriging with water levels (KWL) Yegua-Jackson aquifer Management Zone.




Figure 4-5. Comparison of average drawdown and drawdowns calculated from the year 2011 using the spatial interpolation methods topo to raster (T2R), kriging with water levels (KWL), and kriging with residuals (KRS) for Management Area 1 for the Sparta, Queen City, Carrizo, Calver Bluff, Simsboro, and Hooper aquifers.




Figure 4-6. Comparison of average drawdown and drawdowns calculated from the year 2011 using the spatial interpolation methods topo to raster (T2R), kriging with water levels (KWL), and kriging with residuals (KRS) for the Management Area 2 for the Sparta, Queen City, Carrizo, Calver Bluff, Simsboro, and Hooper aquifers.



5.0 References

- Deeds, N.E., T. Yan, A. Singh, T.L. Jones, V.A. Kelley, P.R. Knox, and S.C. Young. 2010. Groundwater availability model for the Yegua-Jackson Aquifer: Final report prepared for the Texas Water Development Board by INTERA, Inc., 582 p.
- Daniel B Stephens & Associates, INTERA Inc, and Ground Water Consultants, 2022. Desired Future Conditions Explanatory Report for Groundwater Management Area 12. Prepared on Behalf of Brazos Valley GCD, Fayette County GCD, Lost Pines GCD, Mid-East Texas GCD, and Post Oak Savannah GCD.
- Deutsch, C.V., and A.G. Journel. 1998. Geostatistical Software Library and User's Guide, Oxford University Press, New York, 340 p.
- ESRI, 2020. ArcGIS, Version 10.0. Redlands, CA: Environmental Systems Research Institute, Inc.
- Ewing, J.E., and M. Jigmond. 2016. Final Numerical Model Report for the Brazos River Alluvium Aquifer Groundwater Availability Model, prepared for the Texas Water Development Board, August 2016.
- INTERA 2018. "Post Oak Savannah Guidance Document for Evaluating Compliance with Desired Future Conditions and Protective Drawdown Limits." INTERA Incorporated, Austin TX 2018.
- INTERA, 2021. "Post Oak Savannah Guidance Document for Evaluating Compliance with Desired Future Conditions and Protective Drawdown Limits" and completed in 2021
- POSGCD, 2022. Groundwater Management Plan, Final Version for the Updated 2022 Plan
- Young, S., M. Jigmond, T. Jones, and T. Ewing. 2018. Groundwater Availability Model for Central Portion of the Sparta, Queen City, and Carrizo-Wilcox Aquifer, prepared for the TWDB, unnumbered report, September 2018



APPENDIX A

2025 Water Level Surfaces Created by Applying Topo to Raster to Water Levels




Figure A-1. 2025 drawdown contours for the Sparta and Queen City Aquifers Based on Interpolation using Topo to Raster.




Figure A-2. 2025 drawdown contours for the Carrizo and Calvert Bluff Aquifers Based on Interpolation using Topo to Raster.




Figure A-3. 2025 drawdown contours for the Simsboro and Hooper Aquifers Based on Interpolation using Topo to Raster.




Figure A-4. 2025 drawdown contours for Yegua-Jackson Aquifer Based on Interpolation using Topo to Raster.



APPENDIX B

2025 Water Level Surfaces Created by Applying Ordinary Kriging to Water Levels




Figure B-1. 2025 drawdown contours for the Sparta and Queen City Aquifers Based on Interpolation using Ordinary Kriging with Water Levels.




Figure B-2. 2025 drawdown contours for the Carrizo and Calvert Bluff Aquifers Based on Interpolation using Ordinary Kriging with Water Levels.




Figure B-3. 2025 drawdown contours for the Simsboro and Hooper Aquifers Based on Interpolation using Ordinary Kriging with Water Levels.




Figure B-4. 2025 drawdown contours for the Yegua-Jackson Aquifer Based on Interpolation using Ordinary Kriging with Water Levels.



APPENDIX C

2025 Water Level Surfaces Created by Applying Ordinary Kriging to Water Level Residuals




Figure C-1. 2025 drawdown contours for the Sparta and Queen City Aquifers Based on Interpolation using Ordinary Kriging with Residuals.




Figure C-2. 2025 drawdown contours for the Carrizo and Calvert Bluff Aquifers Based on Interpolation using Ordinary Kriging with Residuals.




Figure C-3. 2025 drawdown contours for the Simsboro and Hooper Aquifers Based on Interpolation using Ordinary Kriging with Residuals.



APPENDIX D

Table of Wells and Water Levels Used in 2011 DFC Compliance Calculations



INTERA ID	County	Latitude	Longitude	Average Water Level (famsl)	Number Years Averaged	Analysis Year	Has Transducer	Aquifer
i-000001	Milam	30.68111	-96.948012	332.16	3	2011	NO	Simsboro
i-000002	Milam	30.668465	-96.986881	362.74	3	2011	YES	Simsboro
i-000003	Milam	30.723797	-96.982987	385.04	3	2011	NO	Hooper
i-000004	Milam	30.784118	-96.895502	319.93	3	2011	YES	Simsboro
i-000005	Milam	30.797116	-96.734743	278.36	3	2011	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-000006	Milam	30.780887	-96.784999	252.42	3	2011	YES	Calvert Bluff
i-000007	Milam	30.740555	-96.720832	297.18	3	2011	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-000008	Milam	30.728258	-96.632283	300.88	3	2011	NO	Queen City
i-000009	Milam	30.569169	-96.947723	348.82	3	2011	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-000010	Milam	30.600928	-96.982453	288.72	3	2011	YES	Simsboro
i-000011	Milam	30.644786	-96.98975	321.01	3	2011	NO	Simsboro
i-000012	Milam	30.651521	-96.978108	321.68	3	2011	NO	Simsboro
i-000013	Milam	30.663619	-96.995865	340.32	2	2011	YES	Simsboro
i-000014	Milam	30.666438	-96.995969	343.29	2	2011	NO	Simsboro
i-000015	Milam	30.658537	-97.016606	371.58	3	2011	NO	Simsboro
i-000017	Milam	30.824408	-96.88976	298.68	3	2011	YES	Hooper
i-000018	Milam	30.897589	-96.851978	318.04	3	2011	NO	Hooper
i-000019	Milam	30.988152	-96.757564	259.23	3	2011	YES	Simsboro
i-000020	Milam	30.964169	-96.790695	295.66	3	2011	NO	Hooper
i-000021	Milam	30.884877	-96.778255	261.36	3	2011	YES	Calvert Bluff
i-000022	Milam	30.623416	-97.087963	468.74	3	2011	NO	Hooper
i-000048	Milam	30.716077	-96.863345	273.17	1	2011	NO	Simsboro
i-000049	Milam	30.712769	-96.869969	271.48	3	2011	YES	Simsboro
i-000051	Milam	30.648057	-96.854621	355.15	2	2011	YES	Carrizo
i-000056	Milam	30.787152	-96.716872	199.99	3	2011	YES	Simsboro
i-000058	Milam	30.671293	-97.004037	359.25	3	2011	NO	Hooper
i-000061	Milam	30.6312	-96.9901	312.26	3	2011	NO	Simsboro
i-000062	Milam	30.63481	-96.991088	319.86	3	2011	NO	Simsboro



INTERA ID	County	Latitude	Longitude	Average Water Level (famsl)	Number Years Averaged	Analysis Year	Has Transducer	Aquifer
i-000071	Milam	30.608458	-97.007393	300.37	3	2011	NO	Simsboro
i-000073	Milam	30.507954	-97.15798	445.68	3	2011	NO	Simsboro
i-000084	Milam	30.482942	-97.125936	366.35	3	2011	YES	Simsboro
i-000088	Milam	30.543611	-96.995077	291.89	3	2011	NO	Simsboro
i-000089	Milam	30.600894	-96.982554	291.90	3	2011	NO	Simsboro
i-000091	Milam	30.66098	-96.980581	349.04	3	2011	NO	Hooper
i-000095	Milam	30.905953	-96.778074	289.50	3	2011	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-000097	Milam	30.634102	-97.008392	319.24	3	2011	NO	Simsboro
i-000156	Milam	30.556554	-97.088493	391.42	3	2011	YES	Simsboro
i-000161	Milam	30.671559	-97.003968	352.35	2	2011	YES	Simsboro
i-000174	Milam	30.779877	-96.862409	292.40	3	2011	NO	Simsboro
i-000188	Milam	30.643448	-96.942944	407.28	3	2011	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-000208	Milam	30.56314	-96.962249	283.18	2	2011	NO	Simsboro
i-000210	Milam	30.773217	-96.842923	271.53	3	2011	NO	Simsboro
i-000223	Milam	30.78124	-96.760787	258.18	1	2011	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-000225	Milam	30.651916	-97.061748	392.35	1	2011	NO	Hooper
i-000231	Milam	30.91313	-96.886244	342.53	1	2011	NO	Hooper
i-000246	Milam	30.771335	-96.846475	278.09	1	2011	NO	Simsboro
i-000254	Milam	30.711453	-96.862516	246.76	1	2011	YES	Simsboro
i-000278	Milam	30.51974	-97.128765	417.38	3	2011	NO	Simsboro
i-000309	Milam	30.696083	-96.918014	312.71	3	2011	NO	Simsboro
i-000310	Milam	30.634	-97.036108	374.06	3	2011	NO	Simsboro
i-000316	Milam	30.509568	-97.120109	385.84	3	2011	YES	Simsboro
i-000317	Milam	30.518632	-97.108223	378.77	3	2011	NO	Simsboro
i-000318	Milam	30.698968	-96.972777	372.41	3	2011	NO	Simsboro
i-000323	Milam	30.569346	-96.949119	269.17	3	2011	NO	Simsboro
i-000330	Milam	30.936655	-96.843817	342.22	1	2011	NO	Hooper
i-090081	Milam	30.8252778	-96.6536111	258.10	3	2011	NO	Carrizo



INTERA ID	County	Latitude	Longitude	Average Water Level (famsl)	Number Years Averaged	Analysis Year	Has Transducer	Aquifer
i-000023	Burleson	30.537221	-96.741666	339.06	3	2011	NO	Queen City
i-000025	Burleson	30.57822262	-96.65056693	295.77	3	2011	NO	Queen City
i-000026	Burleson	30.69556	-96.614391	274.27	3	2011	YES	Carrizo
i-000027	Burleson	30.679286	-96.673801	191.74	3	2011	NO	Simsboro
i-000030	Burleson	30.61904658	-96.68645721	280.78	3	2011	NO	Queen City
i-000031	Burleson	30.432127	-96.397781	204.71	3	2011	NO	Yegua/Jackson
i-000032	Burleson	30.48861	-96.375554	197.97	3	2011	NO	Brazos River Alluvium
i-000034	Burleson	30.488864	-96.465507	211.77	2	2011	YES	Weches
i-000037	Burleson	30.310623	-96.646383	224.44	3	2011	YES	Yegua/Jackson
i-000038	Burleson	30.4116894	-96.35791463	192.65	3	2011	NO	Brazos River Alluvium
i-000039	Burleson	30.496356	-96.691955	304.14	3	2011	NO	Sparta
i-000040	Burleson	30.543654	-96.493777	212.92	3	2011	NO	Brazos River Alluvium
i-000041	Burleson	30.544539	-96.492047	210.00	3	2011	NO	Brazos River Alluvium
i-000043	Burleson	30.579192	-96.540368	221.57	3	2011	NO	Brazos River Alluvium
i-000044	Burleson	30.529052	-96.60857	261.12	3	2011	NO	Sparta
i-000045	Burleson	30.488497	-96.843686	311.45	3	2011	YES	Carrizo
i-000046	Burleson	30.549091	-96.436877	206.38	3	2011	NO	Sparta
i-000047	Burleson	30.456017	-96.783585	317.48	2	2011	YES	Queen City
i-000050	Burleson	30.632259	-96.78774	219.28	2	2011	NO	Simsboro
i-000064	Burleson	30.558021	-96.469975	204.74	3	2011	NO	Brazos River Alluvium
i-000065	Burleson	30.481138	-96.872117	339.96	3	2011	NO	Queen City
i-000074	Burleson	30.432723	-96.757079	310.73	2	2011	YES	Queen City
i-000075	Burleson	30.525363	-96.727044	285.11	2	2011	YES	Carrizo
i-000106	Burleson	30.563852	-96.764876	383.43	1	2011	YES	Sparta
i-000115	Burleson	30.587096	-96.764341	355.30	1	2011	YES	Queen City
i-000129	Burleson	30.564449	-96.93863	346.98	3	2011	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-000140	Burleson	30.552628	-96.860572	232.60	2	2011	YES	Simsboro
i-000145	Burleson	30.564832	-96.834747	229.73	2	2011	YES	Simsboro



INTERA ID	County	Latitude	Longitude	Average Water Level (famsl)	Number Years Averaged	Analysis Year	Has Transducer	Aquifer
i-000193	Burleson	30.536707	-96.578301	272.38	3	2011	NO	Sparta
i-000204	Burleson	30.355248	-96.717271	231.36	2	2011	NO	Simsboro
i-000205	Burleson	30.544655	-96.498726	212.88	3	2011	NO	Brazos River Alluvium
i-000214	Burleson	30.483562	-96.86045	234.02	2	2011	YES	Simsboro
i-000264	Burleson	30.540548	-96.907128	362.00	1	2011	YES	Queen City
i-000336	Burleson	30.689832	-96.611437	251.98	3	2011	NO	Queen City
i-035082	Bastrop	30.088879	-97.264104	268.60	2	2011	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-035085	Bastrop	30.082257	-97.318528	306.92	1	2011	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-035124	Bastrop	30.210257	-97.189686	312.49	1	2011	NO	Simsboro
i-035125	Bastrop	30.32257	-97.314366	495.39	1	2011	NO	Simsboro
i-035126	Bastrop	30.365853	-97.287257	505.75	1	2011	NO	Simsboro
i-035127	Bastrop	30.045556	-97.157778	325.64	1	2011	NO	Carrizo
i-035128	Bastrop	29.993611	-97.180556	335.56	1	2011	NO	Reklaw
i-035129	Bastrop	29.979167	-97.193056	328.23	1	2011	NO	Reklaw
i-035130	Bastrop	29.918054	-97.32111	385.92	1	2011	NO	Reklaw
i-035131	Bastrop	30.0725	-97.315556	298.42	2	2011	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-035132	Bastrop	30.181667	-97.421944	358.05	1	2011	NO	Hooper
i-035134	Bastrop	30.376389	-97.290278	427.10	1	2011	NO	Hooper
i-035136	Bastrop	30.146667	-97.232222	382.80	1	2011	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-035137	Bastrop	30.071388	-97.038055	301.28	1	2011	NO	Sparta
i-035192	Bastrop	30.121171	-97.340146	304.40	1	2011	NO	Hooper
i-090014	Bastrop	30.1094444	-97.2947222	305.17	3	2011	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-035049	Lee	30.414784	-97.010163	327.52	1	2011	NO	Carrizo
i-035099	Lee	30.356997	-96.824714	282.86	1	2011	NO	Sparta
i-035103	Lee	30.185277	-96.941611	326.30	2	2011	NO	Carrizo
i-035138	Lee	30.138611	-97.033055	329.18	2	2011	NO	Sparta
i-035139	Lee	30.106112	-96.934445	322.45	1	2011	NO	Yegua/Jackson
i-035140	Lee	30.198611	-96.851112	299.78	1	2011	NO	Yegua/Jackson



INTERA ID	County	Latitude	Longitude	Average Water Level (famsl)	Number Years Averaged	Analysis Year	Has Transducer	Aquifer
i-035142	Lee	30.375555	-96.793611	286.76	1	2011	NO	Sparta
i-035143	Lee	30.370554	-96.872499	311.41	1	2011	NO	Queen City
i-035144	Lee	30.411666	-97.031388	377.81	2	2011	NO	Queen City
i-035147	Lee	30.36861	-97.079721	399.35	2	2011	NO	Queen City
i-035148	Lee	30.29361	-97.045833	369.88	2	2011	NO	Queen City
i-035149	Lee	30.251388	-96.986388	335.81	2	2011	NO	Sparta
i-035177	Lee	30.434369	-97.108829	302.17	2	2011	NO	Simsboro
i-035178	Lee	30.45614	-96.970423	251.39	2	2011	NO	Simsboro
i-090039	Lee	30.448056	-96.886111	324.85	2	2011	NO	Queen City
i-050004	Brazos	30.70578949	-96.48858105	110.26	3	2011	NO	Simsboro
i-050006	Brazos	30.70897878	-96.50677215	136.17	1	2011	NO	Simsboro
i-050008	Brazos	30.69847122	-96.33110342	233.24	1	2011	NO	Yegua/Jackson
i-050013	Brazos	30.40792566	-96.19400117	175.97	1	2011	NO	Brazos River Alluvium
i-050033	Brazos	30.7229823	-96.42011116	20.40	1	2011	NO	Sparta
i-050034	Brazos	30.72048713	-96.42625	97.65	1	2011	NO	Sparta
i-050040	Brazos	30.73012636	-96.45119972	112.45	1	2011	NO	Simsboro
i-050048	Brazos	30.78189017	-96.34331227	169.75	1	2011	NO	Simsboro
i-050052	Brazos	30.70030642	-96.4789341	68.08	1	2011	NO	Simsboro
i-050053	Brazos	30.7073265	-96.48085502	118.02	2	2011	NO	Simsboro
i-050054	Brazos	30.69863431	-96.48862339	45.12	1	2011	NO	Simsboro
i-050055	Brazos	30.69721749	-96.49932178	110.87	2	2011	NO	Simsboro
i-050068	Brazos	30.63375002	-96.53816204	209.34	1	2011	NO	Brazos River Alluvium
i-050075	Brazos	30.65116808	-96.49301852	135.91	1	2011	NO	Sparta
i-050076	Brazos	30.64952787	-96.50053034	95.03	2	2011	NO	Sparta
i-050078	Brazos	30.65508532	-96.48017009	154.75	1	2011	NO	Simsboro
i-050079	Brazos	30.66664679	-96.49002452	155.75	1	2011	NO	Simsboro
i-050082	Brazos	30.64219112	-96.53653741	207.31	2	2011	NO	Sparta
i-050100	Brazos	30.399721	-96.251666	179.77	2	2011	NO	Brazos River Alluvium



INTERA ID	County	Latitude	Longitude	Average Water Level (famsl)	Number Years Averaged	Analysis Year	Has Transducer	Aquifer
i-050146	Brazos	30.69305101	-96.51038497	217.33	1	2011	NO	Sparta
i-050271	Brazos	30.78208609	-96.34345152	178.15	2	2011	NO	Sparta
i-050272	Brazos	30.78928204	-96.33606348	190.54	2	2011	NO	Sparta
i-050276	Brazos	30.64105099	-96.277767	258.68	1	2011	NO	Yegua/Jackson
i-050334	Brazos	30.6786	-96.3031	166.20	1	2011	NO	Sparta
i-090077	Brazos	30.854445	-96.386667	280.09	1	2011	NO	Queen City
i-090113	Brazos	30.861945	-96.371389	307.43	2	2011	NO	Sparta
i-050043	Robertson	30.88568876	-96.61940787	184.59	2	2011	NO	Simsboro
i-050046	Robertson	31.05089962	-96.42848545	207.23	2	2011	NO	Simsboro
i-050061	Robertson	30.76976735	-96.57750214	222.70	2	2011	NO	Alluvium
i-050065	Robertson	30.70865	-96.5529333	214.64	3	2011	NO	Alluvium
i-050067	Robertson	30.94538482	-96.73231345	267.16	2	2011	NO	Alluvium
i-050085	Robertson	30.81922811	-96.60083506	242.70	2	2011	NO	Alluvium
i-050087	Robertson	30.943395	-96.71025	275.15	1	2011	NO	Alluvium
i-050110	Robertson	31.02264318	-96.63052745	203.08	2	2011	NO	Simsboro
i-050122	Robertson	31.194999	-96.581666	391.46	2	2011	NO	Simsboro
i-050125	Robertson	31.26621619	-96.34915827	289.99	2	2011	NO	Simsboro
i-050135	Robertson	30.97802329	-96.46888347	444.23	1	2011	NO	Queen City
i-050139	Robertson	30.99742853	-96.39052061	322.68	2	2011	NO	Carrizo
i-050265	Robertson	31.05513758	-96.33324186	222.71	1	2011	NO	Simsboro
i-050266	Robertson	31.05227487	-96.32830286	210.80	1	2011	NO	Simsboro
i-050277	Robertson	30.75073803	-96.46408352	117.39	1	2011	NO	Simsboro
i-050292	Robertson	31.16319484	-96.66595788	265.10	2	2011	NO	Hooper
i-050337	Robertson	31.073125	-96.527464	294.69	1	2011	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-090101	Robertson	30.845834	-96.651667	236.94	3	2011	NO	Alluvium
i-090104	Robertson	30.866667	-96.678611	239.27	2	2011	NO	Alluvium
i-090106	Robertson	30.875278	-96.654445	249.31	3	2011	NO	Alluvium
i-090112	Robertson	30.913889	-96.681389	253.41	3	2011	NO	Alluvium



INTERA ID	County	Latitude	Longitude	Average Water Level (famsl)	Number Years Averaged	Analysis Year	Has Transducer	Aquifer
i-090116	Robertson	30.924722	-96.411389	361.98	1	2011	NO	Sparta
i-090139	Robertson	31.255	-96.5125	359.76	2	2011	NO	Hooper
i-090095	Falls	31.195	-96.6891667	404.01	2	2011	NO	Hooper
i-090001	Fayette	29.7984583	-97.2280333	307.29	1	2011	NO	Sparta
i-090002	Fayette	29.8119444	-97.1777778	336.78	1	2011	NO	Sparta
i-090004	Fayette	29.9504972	-97.08455	272.61	1	2011	NO	Sparta
i-090008	Fayette	29.9697222	-97.1038889	282.61	1	2011	NO	Sparta



APPENDIX E

Table of Wells and Water Levels Used in 2025 DFC Compliance Calculations



INTERA ID	County	Latitude	Longitude	Average Water Level (famsl)	Number Years Averaged	Analysis Year	Has Transducer	Aquifer
i-000001	Milam	30.68111	-96.948012	318.28	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-000002	Milam	30.668465	-96.986881	341.04	2	2025	YES	Simsboro
i-000003	Milam	30.723797	-96.982987	376.64	2	2025	NO	Hooper
i-000004	Milam	30.784118	-96.895502	304.47	2	2025	YES	Simsboro
i-000005	Milam	30.797116	-96.734743	267.08	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-000006	Milam	30.780887	-96.784999	217.50	2	2025	YES	Calvert Bluff
i-000008	Milam	30.728258	-96.632283	301.24	1	2025	NO	Queen City
i-000009	Milam	30.569169	-96.947723	334.88	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-000010	Milam	30.600928	-96.982453	299.96	2	2025	YES	Simsboro
i-000011	Milam	30.644786	-96.98975	324.96	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-000012	Milam	30.651521	-96.978108	317.59	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-000013	Milam	30.663619	-96.995865	322.40	2	2025	YES	Simsboro
i-000014	Milam	30.666438	-96.995969	333.06	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-000015	Milam	30.658537	-97.016606	362.28	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-000016	Milam	30.758804	-96.985292	322.09	1	2025	NO	Hooper
i-000017	Milam	30.824408	-96.88976	266.25	2	2025	YES	Hooper
i-000018	Milam	30.897589	-96.851978	315.00	1	2025	NO	Hooper
i-000019	Milam	30.988152	-96.757564	250.55	2	2025	YES	Simsboro
i-000020	Milam	30.964169	-96.790695	289.04	1	2025	NO	Hooper
i-000021	Milam	30.884877	-96.778255	241.25	2	2025	YES	Calvert Bluff
i-000022	Milam	30.623416	-97.087963	467.04	2	2025	NO	Hooper
i-000048	Milam	30.716077	-96.863345	249.94	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-000049	Milam	30.712769	-96.869969	260.41	2	2025	YES	Simsboro
i-000051	Milam	30.648057	-96.854621	345.67	2	2025	YES	Carrizo
i-000056	Milam	30.787152	-96.716872	126.31	2	2025	YES	Simsboro



INTERA ID	County	Latitude	Longitude	Average Water Level (famsl)	Number Years Averaged	Analysis Year	Has Transducer	Aquifer
i-000057	Milam	30.593833	-96.9673	284.61	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-000058	Milam	30.671293	-97.004037	326.26	2	2025	NO	Hooper
i-000059	Milam	30.643179	-96.926545	283.37	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-000060	Milam	30.691311	-96.899934	250.88	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-000069	Milam	30.801748	-96.75859	214.75	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-000071	Milam	30.608458	-97.007393	329.12	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-000072	Milam	30.660719	-97.00257	343.68	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-000073	Milam	30.507954	-97.15798	445.84	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-000076	Milam	30.790479	-96.752813	214.57	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-000077	Milam	30.798707	-96.746351	219.57	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-000078	Milam	30.798458	-96.748911	206.30	2	2025	YES	Calvert Bluff
i-000080	Milam	30.506526	-97.118557	387.53	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-000081	Milam	30.662023	-97.039118	375.49	2	2025	NO	Hooper
i-000082	Milam	30.610758	-97.0867	421.82	2	2025	YES	Hooper
i-000083	Milam	30.492104	-97.091438	335.44	2	2025	YES	Simsboro
i-000084	Milam	30.482942	-97.125936	370.68	2	2025	YES	Simsboro
i-000085	Milam	30.910475	-96.83047	299.08	1	2025	NO	Hooper
i-000086	Milam	30.965955	-96.810213	299.76	1	2025	NO	Hooper
i-000087	Milam	30.560937	-96.995195	304.68	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-000088	Milam	30.543611	-96.995077	286.34	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-000089	Milam	30.600894	-96.982554	325.71	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-000090	Milam	30.64475	-96.989459	323.55	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-000092	Milam	30.657701	-97.008279	349.71	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-000093	Milam	30.667238	-96.930797	302.56	1	2025	NO	Hooper
i-000094	Milam	30.742536	-96.723449	275.93	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff



INTERA ID	County	Latitude	Longitude	Average Water Level (famsl)	Number Years Averaged	Analysis Year	Has Transducer	Aquifer
i-000095	Milam	30.905953	-96.778074	283.04	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-000096	Milam	30.637155	-97.047405	376.17	2	2025	NO	Hooper
i-000097	Milam	30.634102	-97.008392	334.25	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-000098	Milam	30.631444	-97.048054	399.15	1	2025	NO	Hooper
i-000099	Milam	30.793544	-96.753895	220.63	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-000128	Milam	30.561114	-97.005839	297.16	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-000131	Milam	30.531266	-97.026756	423.97	2	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-000132	Milam	30.798573	-96.754642	218.33	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-000141	Milam	30.525596	-97.109892	387.18	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-000146	Milam	30.79218	-96.749811	201.48	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-000150	Milam	30.696521	-97.018355	359.96	2	2025	NO	Hooper
i-000152	Milam	30.65372	-96.936482	298.91	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-000153	Milam	30.96101	-96.842631	358.10	1	2025	NO	Hooper
i-000156	Milam	30.556554	-97.088493	409.70	2	2025	YES	Simsboro
i-000157	Milam	30.684556	-97.040078	373.13	2	2025	NO	Hooper
i-000158	Milam	30.542751	-97.037924	288.41	2	2025	YES	Simsboro
i-000161	Milam	30.671559	-97.003968	337.72	2	2025	YES	Simsboro
i-000169	Milam	30.799439	-96.751916	211.97	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-000171	Milam	30.549278	-97.032622	295.31	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-000173	Milam	30.787523	-96.76501	209.88	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-000174	Milam	30.779877	-96.862409	252.73	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-000175	Milam	30.583099	-97.119684	484.14	2	2025	NO	Hooper
i-000177	Milam	30.789919	-96.763075	206.87	2	2025	YES	Calvert Bluff
i-000178	Milam	30.800021	-96.745012	220.79	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-000183	Milam	30.632753	-96.907044	332.52	2	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff



INTERA ID	County	Latitude	Longitude	Average Water Level (famsl)	Number Years Averaged	Analysis Year	Has Transducer	Aquifer
i-000184	Milam	30.519275	-97.128543	433.70	2	2025	NO	Hooper
i-000187	Milam	30.664946	-96.828151	251.23	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-000188	Milam	30.643448	-96.942944	412.16	2	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-000189	Milam	30.788113	-96.761897	205.10	2	2025	YES	Calvert Bluff
i-000190	Milam	30.51383	-97.164512	470.80	1	2025	NO	Hooper
i-000194	Milam	30.802738	-96.746268	218.22	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-000196	Milam	30.517598	-97.05441	298.41	2	2025	YES	Simsboro
i-000199	Milam	30.96749	-96.777223	283.15	2	2025	YES	Hooper
i-000201	Milam	30.786376	-96.757111	232.52	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-000208	Milam	30.56314	-96.962249	278.37	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-000215	Milam	30.936896	-96.840521	327.58	1	2025	NO	Hooper
i-000216	Milam	30.509001	-97.153749	440.26	2	2025	NO	Hooper
i-000218	Milam	30.93485908	-96.84278113	335.41	1	2025	NO	Hooper
i-000221	Milam	30.762285	-96.739919	236.09	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-000223	Milam	30.78124	-96.760787	243.93	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-000225	Milam	30.651916	-97.061748	386.30	2	2025	NO	Hooper
i-000231	Milam	30.91313	-96.886244	346.65	1	2025	NO	Hooper
i-000232	Milam	30.787566	-96.754675	201.99	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-000234	Milam	30.68149	-96.786819	232.94	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-000238	Milam	30.514867	-97.052056	434.92	2	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-000239	Milam	30.50916	-97.056111	426.23	2	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-000245	Milam	30.939341	-96.841313	345.48	1	2025	NO	Hooper
i-000251	Milam	30.842715	-96.809089	218.12	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-000253	Milam	30.934887	-96.844776	335.61	1	2025	NO	Hooper
i-000254	Milam	30.711453	-96.862516	193.08	2	2025	YES	Simsboro



INTERA ID	County	Latitude	Longitude	Average Water Level (famsl)	Number Years Averaged	Analysis Year	Has Transducer	Aquifer
i-000255	Milam	30.71147	-96.86247	440.97	2	2025	YES	Calvert Bluff
i-000257	Milam	30.74194	-96.8486	226.06	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-000262	Milam	30.906578	-96.888837	331.44	1	2025	NO	Hooper
i-000265	Milam	30.740555	-96.720277	316.33	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000273	Milam	30.624066	-97.048654	398.01	2	2025	NO	Hooper
i-000274	Milam	30.801735	-96.754846	292.24	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000275	Milam	30.760171	-96.651465	266.40	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000276	Milam	30.606932	-96.871251	312.04	2	2025	YES	Carrizo
i-000278	Milam	30.51974	-97.128765	418.28	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-000280	Milam	30.681115	-97.035385	381.16	2	2025	NO	Hooper
i-000281	Milam	30.825372	-96.652117	241.20	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000282	Milam	30.649373	-96.979027	321.18	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-000283	Milam	30.917406	-96.830408	323.03	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-000284	Milam	30.602223	-96.946389	359.30	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-000285	Milam	30.689759	-96.972942	356.15	2	2025	NO	Hooper
i-000286	Milam	30.795901	-96.7555	218.99	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-000287	Milam	30.813705	-96.915701	377.53	2	2025	YES	Hooper
i-000288	Milam	30.742183	-96.922138	320.75	1	2025	YES	Simsboro
i-000289	Milam	30.790381	-96.754689	217.33	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-000290	Milam	30.749728	-96.974034	369.91	2	2025	YES	Hooper
i-000291	Milam	30.7497	-96.974028	382.04	2	2025	YES	Hooper
i-000292	Milam	30.961546	-96.843779	372.90	1	2025	NO	Hooper
i-000304	Milam	30.63488	-96.990939	322.69	2	2025	YES	Simsboro
i-000309	Milam	30.696083	-96.918014	288.70	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-000310	Milam	30.634	-97.036108	375.03	2	2025	NO	Simsboro



INTERA ID	County	Latitude	Longitude	Average Water Level (famsl)	Number Years Averaged	Analysis Year	Has Transducer	Aquifer
i-000315	Milam	30.79608	-96.753138	217.81	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-000316	Milam	30.509568	-97.120109	389.12	2	2025	YES	Simsboro
i-000317	Milam	30.518632	-97.108223	387.30	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-000318	Milam	30.698968	-96.972777	366.95	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-000320	Milam	30.6512	-96.951376	282.61	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-000321	Milam	30.888939	-96.724989	174.73	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-000322	Milam	30.946955	-96.7942	287.46	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-000326	Milam	30.950404	-96.835052	360.54	1	2025	NO	Hooper
i-000330	Milam	30.936655	-96.843817	345.96	1	2025	NO	Hooper
i-000332	Milam	30.849332	-96.92166	311.13	1	2025	NO	Hooper
i-000334	Milam	30.96914	-96.780574	282.40	1	2025	NO	Hooper
i-000339	Milam	30.823778	-96.654983	240.72	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000347	Milam	30.648152	-96.85468	147.80	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-000348	Milam	30.595789	-97.109753	456.55	2	2025	NO	Hooper
i-000350	Milam	30.518502	-97.126979	413.79	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-000352	Milam	30.713814	-96.697083	292.40	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000354	Milam	30.706173	-96.705292	275.26	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000356	Milam	30.462071	-97.149871	314.64	2	2025	YES	Simsboro
i-000374	Milam	30.736994	-96.979778	377.41	2	2025	NO	Hooper
i-000375	Milam	30.543659	-97.040081	270.46	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-000386	Milam	30.511417	-97.057097	432.61	2	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-000387	Milam	30.516068	-97.060717	418.51	2	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-000394	Milam	30.50599	-97.154919	436.12	2	2025	NO	Hooper
i-000395	Milam	30.540123	-97.13232	459.76	2	2025	NO	Hooper
i-000409	Milam	30.518553	-97.043202	423.05	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff



INTERA ID	County	Latitude	Longitude	Average Water Level (famsl)	Number Years Averaged	Analysis Year	Has Transducer	Aquifer
i-000410	Milam	30.522658	-97.049535	413.15	2	2025	NO	No Assignment
i-000777	Milam	30.57033	-97.03935	383.54	1	2025	YES	Simsboro
i-000780	Milam	30.55998	-97.05018	389.97	1	2025	YES	Simsboro
i-000782	Milam	30.55482	-97.04309	389.17	1	2025	YES	Simsboro
i-000788	Milam	30.57674	-97.02479	342.20	1	2025	YES	Simsboro
i-000800	Milam	30.5737	-97.00835	314.20	1	2025	YES	Simsboro
i-000811	Milam	30.58076	-97.00835	320.80	1	2025	YES	Simsboro
i-000820	Milam	30.52283	-97.0663	337.53	1	2025	YES	Simsboro
i-000823	Milam	30.51867	-97.07272	340.03	1	2025	YES	Simsboro
i-000832	Milam	30.50352	-97.10667	365.41	1	2025	YES	Simsboro
i-000833	Milam	30.50301	-97.10691	365.15	1	2025	YES	Simsboro
i-000834	Milam	30.52158	-97.1015	376.15	1	2025	YES	Simsboro
i-000836	Milam	30.55158	-97.07546	393.51	1	2025	YES	Simsboro
i-000945	Milam	30.790277	-96.767222	202.84	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-000996	Milam	30.56478	-97.0474	390.15	1	2025	YES	Simsboro
i-001091	Milam	30.633055	-96.990278	297.60	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-001223	Milam	30.698575	-96.79245	319.01	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-001225	Milam	30.648671	-96.932937	296.66	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-001226	Milam	30.58394	-97.08248	362.61	2	2025	NO	Hooper
i-001227	Milam	30.561107	-97.005957	287.67	2	2025	YES	Simsboro
i-001232	Milam	30.496553	-97.12598	369.21	2	2025	NO	Hooper
i-001233	Milam	30.544556	-97.037605	292.56	2	2025	YES	Simsboro
i-001237	Milam	30.53715	-97.060597	436.62	1	2025	YES	Calvert Bluff
i-001245	Milam	30.51631	-97.068023	442.79	1	2025	YES	Calvert Bluff
i-001251	Milam	30.518708	-97.06636	326.83	1	2025	YES	Simsboro



INTERA ID	County	Latitude	Longitude	Average Water Level (famsl)	Number Years Averaged	Analysis Year	Has Transducer	Aquifer
i-001256	Milam	30.504165	-97.106051	372.49	1	2025	YES	Simsboro
i-001258	Milam	30.571827	-97.022801	404.18	1	2025	YES	Calvert Bluff
i-001259	Milam	30.564231	-97.024871	316.34	1	2025	YES	Simsboro
i-001260	Milam	30.515272	-97.078029	447.07	1	2025	YES	No Assignment
i-001273	Milam	30.592369	-96.97055	308.35	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-001274	Milam	30.583294	-96.964073	320.63	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-001275	Milam	30.591908	-96.970894	304.43	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-001276	Milam	30.675833	-96.802778	328.51	1	2025	YES	Reklaw
i-001278	Milam	30.569358	-96.949247	263.57	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-001279	Milam	30.544208	-96.991928	341.50	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-001282	Milam	30.541064	-97.131402	452.35	1	2025	NO	Hooper
i-001283	Milam	30.71306	-96.709749	169.16	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-001285	Milam	30.611921	-97.08209	404.76	2	2025	NO	Hooper
i-001287	Milam	30.635283	-96.878869	306.87	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-001288	Milam	30.791257	-96.750564	216.31	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-001308	Milam	30.5603	-96.956669	312.06	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-001374	Milam	30.789958	-96.79763	220.50	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-001386	Milam	30.527683	-97.108715	402.05	1	2025	NO	Hooper
i-001388	Milam	30.526783	-97.135778	444.01	1	2025	NO	Hooper
i-001389	Milam	30.800426	-96.750602	213.21	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-001396	Milam	30.746489	-96.851042	242.77	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-001398	Milam	30.696089	-97.212433	391.84	1	2025	NO	No Assignment
i-001400	Milam	30.529023	-97.135965	431.93	1	2025	NO	Hooper
i-000025	Burleson	30.57822262	-96.65056693	293.04	2	2025	NO	Queen City
i-000026	Burleson	30.69556	-96.614391	252.84	2	2025	YES	Carrizo



INTERA ID	County	Latitude	Longitude	Average Water Level (famsl)	Number Years Averaged	Analysis Year	Has Transducer	Aquifer
i-000027	Burleson	30.679286	-96.673801	88.85	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-000028	Burleson	30.506835	-96.828994	177.12	2	2025	YES	Carrizo
i-000029	Burleson	30.501133	-96.767917	116.91	2	2025	YES	Carrizo
i-000030	Burleson	30.61904658	-96.68645721	277.89	2	2025	NO	Queen City
i-000031	Burleson	30.432127	-96.397781	189.51	1	2025	NO	Yegua/Jackson
i-000032	Burleson	30.48861	-96.375554	199.36	1	2025	NO	Brazos River Alluvium
i-000033	Burleson	30.459824	-96.470121	215.55	1	2025	NO	Yegua/Jackson
i-000034	Burleson	30.488864	-96.465507	189.15	2	2025	YES	Weches
i-000035	Burleson	30.38675	-96.564559	214.98	1	2025	NO	Sparta
i-000036	Burleson	30.395025	-96.345573	175.66	1	2025	NO	Yegua/Jackson
i-000037	Burleson	30.310623	-96.646383	214.76	2	2025	YES	Yegua/Jackson
i-000038	Burleson	30.4116894	-96.35791463	176.31	2	2025	NO	Brazos River Alluvium
i-000039	Burleson	30.496356	-96.691955	283.79	2	2025	NO	Sparta
i-000040	Burleson	30.543654	-96.493777	214.31	1	2025	NO	Brazos River Alluvium
i-000041	Burleson	30.544539	-96.492047	213.98	1	2025	NO	Brazos River Alluvium
i-000042	Burleson	30.545329	-96.525524	198.35	2	2025	YES	Sparta
i-000043	Burleson	30.579192	-96.540368	226.20	1	2025	NO	Brazos River Alluvium
i-000044	Burleson	30.529052	-96.60857	251.83	1	2025	NO	Sparta
i-000045	Burleson	30.488497	-96.843686	89.85	2	2025	YES	Carrizo
i-000046	Burleson	30.549091	-96.436877	190.59	1	2025	NO	Sparta
i-000047	Burleson	30.456017	-96.783585	282.43	2	2025	YES	Queen City
i-000050	Burleson	30.632259	-96.78774	109.68	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-000052	Burleson	30.543056	-96.681111	122.73	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000053	Burleson	30.529888	-96.71715	141.20	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000054	Burleson	30.527234	-96.71426	143.05	1	2025	NO	Carrizo



INTERA ID	County	Latitude	Longitude	Average Water Level (famsl)	Number Years Averaged	Analysis Year	Has Transducer	Aquifer
i-000055	Burleson	30.535987	-96.688206	150.36	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000063	Burleson	30.596919	-96.609785	209.37	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000064	Burleson	30.558021	-96.469975	206.42	1	2025	NO	Brazos River Alluvium
i-000065	Burleson	30.481138	-96.872117	347.48	1	2025	NO	Queen City
i-000066	Burleson	30.484592	-96.887769	125.50	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000067	Burleson	30.502683	-96.883648	149.45	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000068	Burleson	30.541997	-96.817217	164.21	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000070	Burleson	30.571577	-96.829333	218.35	1	2025	YES	Calvert Bluff
i-000074	Burleson	30.432723	-96.757079	299.04	2	2025	YES	Queen City
i-000075	Burleson	30.525363	-96.727044	127.14	2	2025	YES	Carrizo
i-000100	Burleson	30.526832	-96.60392	256.88	1	2025	NO	Sparta
i-000102	Burleson	30.504611	-96.898057	158.06	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000103	Burleson	30.483461	-96.892957	124.03	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000104	Burleson	30.506583	-96.877112	167.80	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000105	Burleson	30.567265	-96.711529	176.46	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000106	Burleson	30.563852	-96.764876	374.37	1	2025	YES	Sparta
i-000108	Burleson	30.547438	-96.647943	196.05	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000110	Burleson	30.57812	-96.676026	194.00	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000111	Burleson	30.550039	-96.880943	203.43	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000112	Burleson	30.542774	-96.793715	153.15	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000113	Burleson	30.634249	-96.596535	239.99	1	2025	NO	Queen City
i-000114	Burleson	30.563632	-96.743217	274.35	1	2025	NO	Reklaw
i-000115	Burleson	30.587096	-96.764341	342.74	2	2025	YES	Queen City
i-000116	Burleson	30.550325	-96.887471	186.95	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000117	Burleson	30.497436	-96.843636	137.66	2	2025	NO	Carrizo



INTERA ID	County	Latitude	Longitude	Average Water Level (famsl)	Number Years Averaged	Analysis Year	Has Transducer	Aquifer
i-000118	Burleson	30.501072	-96.871648	142.22	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000119	Burleson	30.536518	-96.865835	207.45	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000120	Burleson	30.545651	-96.887229	210.48	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000121	Burleson	30.504211	-96.875653	149.18	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000122	Burleson	30.423109	-96.792805	318.19	1	2025	YES	Sparta
i-000123	Burleson	30.543942	-96.874195	187.44	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000124	Burleson	30.55726	-96.663845	266.60	2	2025	YES	Queen City
i-000125	Burleson	30.545711	-96.637995	276.68	1	2025	NO	Queen City
i-000126	Burleson	30.547688	-96.650416	279.46	1	2025	NO	Queen City
i-000127	Burleson	30.427733	-96.822141	333.71	1	2025	NO	No Assignment
i-000129	Burleson	30.564449	-96.93863	312.08	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-000133	Burleson	30.619453	-96.656364	251.46	1	2025	NO	Queen City
i-000134	Burleson	30.504366	-96.84455	133.19	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000135	Burleson	30.548487	-96.715193	174.98	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000136	Burleson	30.444177	-96.709519	278.76	2	2025	NO	Sparta
i-000137	Burleson	30.563604	-96.730581	184.52	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000138	Burleson	30.470743	-96.884929	328.39	2	2025	NO	Queen City
i-000140	Burleson	30.552628	-96.860572	68.70	2	2025	YES	Simsboro
i-000144	Burleson	30.541605	-96.864043	184.00	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000145	Burleson	30.564832	-96.834747	64.45	2	2025	YES	Simsboro
i-000148	Burleson	30.486545	-96.714566	295.30	1	2025	NO	Queen City
i-000149	Burleson	30.473	-96.7359	304.32	2	2025	NO	Queen City
i-000154	Burleson	30.533847	-96.913127	257.74	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000155	Burleson	30.543163	-96.716374	181.80	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000159	Burleson	30.468221	-96.67232	276.07	1	2025	NO	Sparta



INTERA ID	County	Latitude	Longitude	Average Water Level (famsl)	Number Years Averaged	Analysis Year	Has Transducer	Aquifer
i-000162	Burleson	30.583059	-96.815932	389.78	2	2025	NO	Queen City
i-000163	Burleson	30.581011	-96.814049	380.50	2	2025	NO	Queen City
i-000164	Burleson	30.455325	-96.696669	276.64	2	2025	NO	Sparta
i-000165	Burleson	30.45606	-96.694862	278.04	2	2025	NO	Sparta
i-000166	Burleson	30.433183	-96.702289	274.14	2	2025	NO	Sparta
i-000167	Burleson	30.524118	-96.601927	263.53	1	2025	NO	Sparta
i-000168	Burleson	30.522879	-96.603324	256.72	1	2025	NO	Yegua/Jackson
i-000170	Burleson	30.571423	-96.918783	266.82	2	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-000172	Burleson	30.505293	-96.888663	152.56	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000179	Burleson	30.444682	-96.655938	265.31	2	2025	NO	Yegua/Jackson
i-000180	Burleson	30.49	-96.8844	129.25	2	2025	YES	Carrizo
i-000181	Burleson	30.489236	-96.883408	123.64	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000182	Burleson	30.545419	-96.729014	153.94	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000185	Burleson	30.604722	-96.742778	225.55	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000186	Burleson	30.479463	-96.895314	119.02	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000191	Burleson	30.354736	-96.717394	258.06	1	2025	NO	Yegua/Jackson
i-000192	Burleson	30.557925	-96.819078	215.04	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000193	Burleson	30.536707	-96.578301	270.37	2	2025	NO	Sparta
i-000195	Burleson	30.603568	-96.742789	202.64	2	2025	YES	Carrizo
i-000198	Burleson	30.532768	-96.860146	180.37	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000202	Burleson	30.499189	-96.840035	287.12	1	2025	NO	Reklaw
i-000203	Burleson	30.562828	-96.765936	192.61	2	2025	YES	Carrizo
i-000204	Burleson	30.355248	-96.717271	33.93	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-000205	Burleson	30.544655	-96.498726	217.59	1	2025	NO	Brazos River Alluvium
i-000206	Burleson	30.339441	-96.536761	218.39	2	2025	NO	Yegua/Jackson



INTERA ID	County	Latitude	Longitude	Average Water Level (famsl)	Number Years Averaged	Analysis Year	Has Transducer	Aquifer
i-000207	Burleson	30.339005	-96.662334	180.32	1	2025	NO	Yegua/Jackson
i-000209	Burleson	30.478894	-96.553132	229.00	1	2025	NO	Sparta
i-000211	Burleson	30.560333	-96.792178	172.28	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000212	Burleson	30.34644	-96.653937	179.37	1	2025	NO	Yegua/Jackson
i-000213	Burleson	30.343735	-96.656985	179.13	1	2025	NO	Yegua/Jackson
i-000214	Burleson	30.483562	-96.86045	24.79	2	2025	YES	Simsboro
i-000217	Burleson	30.52723	-96.8188	159.04	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000219	Burleson	30.574557	-96.654183	276.60	2	2025	NO	Queen City
i-000220	Burleson	30.559859	-96.809093	205.91	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000222	Burleson	30.561022	-96.810813	208.11	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000224	Burleson	30.576779	-96.657712	278.61	2	2025	NO	Queen City
i-000226	Burleson	30.5606	-96.7458	191.08	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000227	Burleson	30.468045	-96.672368	277.98	1	2025	NO	Queen City
i-000229	Burleson	30.559905	-96.783883	170.35	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000230	Burleson	30.524279	-96.816012	148.49	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000233	Burleson	30.493049	-96.774833	91.77	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000236	Burleson	30.527381	-96.858233	175.29	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000237	Burleson	30.53394	-96.913311	239.69	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-000240	Burleson	30.549636	-96.790548	167.72	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000241	Burleson	30.560277	-96.885555	248.66	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000242	Burleson	30.603813	-96.536293	46.19	2	2025	YES	Simsboro
i-000243	Burleson	30.558721	-96.801712	194.87	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000244	Burleson	30.567576	-96.744847	198.25	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000247	Burleson	30.452998	-96.703926	279.01	2	2025	NO	Sparta
i-000248	Burleson	30.606732	-96.534182	171.55	1	2025	NO	Sparta



INTERA ID	County	Latitude	Longitude	Average Water Level (famsl)	Number Years Averaged	Analysis Year	Has Transducer	Aquifer
i-000252	Burleson	30.39192	-96.556262	217.63	2	2025	YES	Yegua/Jackson
i-000256	Burleson	30.49561	-96.854834	202.08	2	2025	YES	Calvert Bluff
i-000258	Burleson	30.618984	-96.66082	280.70	1	2025	NO	Queen City
i-000259	Burleson	30.511144	-96.897175	80.24	2	2025	YES	Simsboro
i-000260	Burleson	30.597068	-96.879605	124.20	2	2025	YES	Simsboro
i-000261	Burleson	30.552021	-96.685339	181.58	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000263	Burleson	30.5155	-96.830277	108.97	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000264	Burleson	30.540548	-96.907128	373.66	1	2025	YES	Queen City
i-000266	Burleson	30.54111	-96.904828	369.83	1	2025	NO	Queen City
i-000267	Burleson	30.604445	-96.70975	297.30	2	2025	YES	Queen City
i-000268	Burleson	30.465084	-96.667991	273.16	2	2025	NO	Sparta
i-000269	Burleson	30.569484	-96.737646	198.98	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000270	Burleson	30.502645	-96.841991	118.80	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000271	Burleson	30.42776	-96.762799	314.50	2	2025	YES	Sparta
i-000272	Burleson	30.572377	-96.920672	125.09	2	2025	YES	Simsboro
i-000277	Burleson	30.400752	-96.760522	288.06	2	2025	YES	Sparta
i-000279	Burleson	30.523034	-96.604322	253.89	1	2025	NO	Sparta
i-000294	Burleson	30.501391	-96.850256	108.88	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000295	Burleson	30.525757	-96.823085	149.34	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000301	Burleson	30.519722	-96.898056	167.43	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000302	Burleson	30.343491	-96.537967	207.77	2	2025	NO	Yegua/Jackson
i-000303	Burleson	30.601667	-96.7371	226.70	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000305	Burleson	30.605093	-96.545499	233.24	1	2025	YES	Weches
i-000306	Burleson	30.428949	-96.806908	310.47	2	2025	YES	Queen City
i-000307	Burleson	30.435756	-96.804091	314.06	2	2025	YES	Queen City



INTERA ID	County	Latitude	Longitude	Average Water Level (famsl)	Number Years Averaged	Analysis Year	Has Transducer	Aquifer
i-000308	Burleson	30.414663	-96.81687	308.70	2	2025	YES	Queen City
i-000319	Burleson	30.561598	-96.844456	190.55	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000325	Burleson	30.433609	-96.824999	311.21	2	2025	NO	Queen City
i-000327	Burleson	30.557836	-96.725392	162.37	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000328	Burleson	30.501521	-96.84481	131.72	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000331	Burleson	30.477976	-96.860164	77.77	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000333	Burleson	30.43258	-96.531884	228.24	1	2025	NO	Yegua/Jackson
i-000335	Burleson	30.46649	-96.666725	274.23	2	2025	NO	Yegua/Jackson
i-000336	Burleson	30.689832	-96.611437	249.60	2	2025	NO	Queen City
i-000337	Burleson	30.376296	-96.682733	262.18	2	2025	NO	Yegua/Jackson
i-000338	Burleson	30.329788	-96.663389	187.22	2	2025	NO	Yegua/Jackson
i-000340	Burleson	30.501033	-96.875433	130.50	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000341	Burleson	30.486798	-96.884378	139.00	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000342	Burleson	30.550331	-96.713844	160.32	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000343	Burleson	30.432226	-96.815857	312.97	2	2025	NO	Queen City
i-000344	Burleson	30.506375	-96.878803	148.00	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000345	Burleson	30.44197	-96.410513	200.10	1	2025	NO	Yegua/Jackson
i-000346	Burleson	30.502712	-96.883924	146.20	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000349	Burleson	30.498455	-96.856797	107.22	2	2025	YES	Hooper
i-000351	Burleson	30.558905	-96.815985	187.19	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000353	Burleson	30.563152	-96.656749	303.76	2	2025	NO	Sparta
i-000355	Burleson	30.447111	-96.789976	-82.05	1	2025	YES	Carrizo
i-000357	Burleson	30.366215	-96.540195	209.43	1	2025	NO	Yegua/Jackson
i-000358	Burleson	30.599617	-96.836264	227.03	2	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-000360	Burleson	30.483057	-96.890506	330.14	1	2025	NO	Queen City



INTERA ID	County	Latitude	Longitude	Average Water Level (famsl)	Number Years Averaged	Analysis Year	Has Transducer	Aquifer
i-000361	Burleson	30.500667	-96.8675	137.39	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000362	Burleson	30.526923	-96.823943	154.20	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000365	Burleson	30.460716	-96.703387	123.79	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000366	Burleson	30.451778	-96.7155	129.22	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000367	Burleson	30.452722	-96.717611	128.02	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000368	Burleson	30.597171	-96.609862	230.91	2	2025	NO	Queen City
i-000372	Burleson	30.55792	-96.877847	237.49	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-000373	Burleson	30.526949	-96.881265	177.30	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000376	Burleson	30.442633	-96.8556	49.88	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000377	Burleson	30.554913	-96.71733	163.28	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000378	Burleson	30.600447	-96.831953	229.70	2	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-000379	Burleson	30.486085	-96.582036	210.22	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000380	Burleson	30.396686	-96.778052	24.18	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000381	Burleson	30.627125	-96.638403	221.66	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000382	Burleson	30.625919	-96.637619	224.06	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000383	Burleson	30.628103	-96.636011	222.93	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000384	Burleson	30.597689	-96.574934	37.65	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-000385	Burleson	30.579594	-96.902855	240.21	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-000389	Burleson	30.579876	-96.634369	198.77	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000390	Burleson	30.539762	-96.668021	206.73	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000391	Burleson	30.453484	-96.836843	-12.39	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-000392	Burleson	30.447696	-96.844344	41.59	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000397	Burleson	30.672751	-96.698872	261.34	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-000398	Burleson	30.593569	-96.766842	234.09	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000399	Burleson	30.577227	-96.609968	214.10	2	2025	NO	Carrizo



INTERA ID	County	Latitude	Longitude	Average Water Level (famsl)	Number Years Averaged	Analysis Year	Has Transducer	Aquifer
i-000400	Burleson	30.560697	-96.636353	187.09	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000401	Burleson	30.574722	-96.610261	198.39	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000402	Burleson	30.433556	-96.739292	52.31	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000403	Burleson	30.431792	-96.738134	56.13	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000404	Burleson	30.548979	-96.650252	178.32	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000406	Burleson	30.56121	-96.634814	199.67	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-000408	Burleson	30.555194	-96.681333	285.80	1	2025	NO	Queen City
i-000644	Burleson	30.395115	-96.345656	165.90	1	2025	NO	Yegua/Jackson
i-000876	Burleson	30.442113	-96.813827	-205.22	2	2025	YES	Carrizo
i-000877	Burleson	30.435646	-96.803663	-207.23	2	2025	YES	Carrizo
i-000878	Burleson	30.429306	-96.806839	-253.70	2	2025	YES	Carrizo
i-000879	Burleson	30.432123	-96.815608	-196.90	2	2025	YES	Carrizo
i-000880	Burleson	30.431038	-96.824058	-188.82	2	2025	YES	Carrizo
i-000881	Burleson	30.428449	-96.833138	-175.81	2	2025	YES	Carrizo
i-000882	Burleson	30.414982	-96.817178	-239.69	2	2025	YES	Carrizo
i-000883	Burleson	30.423249	-96.819694	-181.04	2	2025	YES	Carrizo
i-000884	Burleson	30.421881	-96.810085	-238.66	2	2025	YES	Carrizo
i-000897	Burleson	30.506838	-96.8206	-31.47	1	2025	YES	Simsboro
i-000898	Burleson	30.5032	-96.8128	-19.99	1	2025	YES	Simsboro
i-000905	Burleson	30.441895	-96.813345	-156.88	2	2025	YES	Simsboro
i-000906	Burleson	30.436059	-96.803873	-111.97	2	2025	YES	Simsboro
i-000907	Burleson	30.429055	-96.806497	-125.02	2	2025	YES	Simsboro
i-000908	Burleson	30.422234	-96.81063	-116.63	2	2025	YES	Simsboro
i-000909	Burleson	30.423939	-96.820052	-144.24	2	2025	YES	Simsboro
i-000910	Burleson	30.414692	-96.817523	-131.00	2	2025	YES	Simsboro



INTERA ID	County	Latitude	Longitude	Average Water Level (famsl)	Number Years Averaged	Analysis Year	Has Transducer	Aquifer
i-000911	Burleson	30.427986	-96.832996	-134.97	2	2025	YES	Simsboro
i-000912	Burleson	30.430597	-96.823848	-196.79	2	2025	YES	Simsboro
i-000913	Burleson	30.431988	-96.816059	-118.02	2	2025	YES	Simsboro
i-000946	Burleson	30.712782	-96.640014	264.10	1	2025	NO	Queen City
i-001195	Burleson	30.3875	-96.744722	90.02	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-001219	Burleson	30.687609	-96.668131	255.40	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-001220	Burleson	30.564696	-96.730025	175.04	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-001221	Burleson	30.5535	-96.683637	190.58	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-001228	Burleson	30.589154	-96.751966	210.31	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-001229	Burleson	30.563889	-96.747778	189.27	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-001230	Burleson	30.486304	-96.878513	119.43	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-001231	Burleson	30.488554	-96.887625	120.61	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-001234	Burleson	30.42575	-96.830441	302.94	1	2025	NO	Queen City
i-001235	Burleson	30.50556	-96.88139	141.19	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-001277	Burleson	30.519302	-96.901312	196.50	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-001280	Burleson	30.5894	-96.7554	213.07	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-001284	Burleson	30.477548	-96.890872	136.83	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-001286	Burleson	30.587318	-96.862117	198.59	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-001289	Burleson	30.521567	-96.868288	141.81	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-001290	Burleson	30.490137	-96.88097	113.85	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-001291	Burleson	30.549756	-96.713416	300.02	1	2025	NO	Queen City
i-001306	Burleson	30.553268	-96.902955	255.30	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-001377	Burleson	30.553425	-96.675994	278.72	1	2025	NO	Queen City
i-001379	Burleson	30.550438	-96.715876	299.19	1	2025	NO	Queen City
i-001380	Burleson	30.71162	-96.6386	269.62	1	2025	NO	Queen City



INTERA ID	County	Latitude	Longitude	Average Water Level (famsl)	Number Years Averaged	Analysis Year	Has Transducer	Aquifer
i-001381	Burleson	30.47985	-96.49395	213.74	1	2025	NO	No Assignment
i-001383	Burleson	30.437283	-96.4916	196.83	1	2025	NO	No Assignment
i-001384	Burleson	30.4853	-96.8849	159.81	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-001387	Burleson	30.673229	-96.715958	226.40	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-001394	Burleson	30.592927	-96.877806	139.49	1	2025	NO	Hooper
i-001397	Burleson	30.568317	-96.913003	268.34	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-001399	Burleson	30.438003	-96.718411	280.45	1	2025	NO	Sparta
i-001402	Burleson	30.545632	-96.887191	209.57	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-001403	Burleson	30.43095	-96.54562	219.88	1	2025	NO	No Assignment
i-000296	Bastrop	30.333743	-97.230485	277.10	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-001253	Bastrop	30.138282	-97.146729	302.25	1	2025	YES	Queen City
i-035055	Bastrop	30.303609	-97.263494	292.43	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-035124	Bastrop	30.210257	-97.189686	288.13	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-035125	Bastrop	30.32257	-97.314366	500.81	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-035127	Bastrop	30.045556	-97.157778	312.36	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-035128	Bastrop	29.993611	-97.180556	323.12	2	2025	NO	Reklaw
i-035129	Bastrop	29.979167	-97.193056	325.83	1	2025	NO	Reklaw
i-035133	Bastrop	30.254167	-97.416944	445.29	2	2025	NO	Hooper
i-035134	Bastrop	30.376389	-97.290278	424.53	2	2025	NO	Hooper
i-035136	Bastrop	30.146667	-97.232222	388.69	2	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-035192	Bastrop	30.121171	-97.340146	264.71	2	2025	NO	Hooper
i-035208	Bastrop	30.190748	-97.127637	432.51	2	2025	NO	No Assignment
i-035246	Bastrop	30.1929583	-97.1230528	434.08	2	2025	NO	Queen City
i-035313	Bastrop	30.16678	-97.25436	347.71	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-000297	Lee	30.414877	-97.1786	374.44	1	2025	NO	Simsboro



INTERA ID	County	Latitude	Longitude	Average Water Level (famsl)	Number Years Averaged	Analysis Year	Has Transducer	Aquifer
i-000298	Lee	30.436225	-97.084104	313.05	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-000300	Lee	30.448499	-97.119669	391.23	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-000312	Lee	30.378317	-97.21891	315.47	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-000313	Lee	30.412727	-97.098625	305.06	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-000314	Lee	30.531199	-96.995222	293.88	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-000371	Lee	30.529992	-96.921083	91.87	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-000388	Lee	30.480741	-96.960922	89.97	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-000393	Lee	30.460849	-97.092508	484.22	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-001252	Lee	30.470892	-97.107576	318.99	1	2025	YES	Simsboro
i-001254	Lee	30.44784	-97.133658	389.01	1	2025	YES	Calvert Bluff
i-035025	Lee	30.425598	-96.990108	215.90	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-035099	Lee	30.356997	-96.824714	278.10	2	2025	NO	Sparta
i-035138	Lee	30.138611	-97.033055	322.58	2	2025	NO	Sparta
i-035139	Lee	30.106112	-96.934445	313.93	2	2025	NO	Yegua/Jackson
i-035140	Lee	30.198611	-96.851112	290.09	2	2025	NO	Yegua/Jackson
i-035143	Lee	30.370554	-96.872499	312.53	2	2025	NO	Queen City
i-035144	Lee	30.411666	-97.031388	377.53	2	2025	NO	Queen City
i-035147	Lee	30.36861	-97.079721	396.30	2	2025	NO	Queen City
i-035148	Lee	30.29361	-97.045833	370.21	2	2025	NO	Queen City
i-035149	Lee	30.251388	-96.986388	329.46	2	2025	NO	Sparta
i-035177	Lee	30.434369	-97.108829	230.55	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-035178	Lee	30.45614	-96.970423	90.21	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-035180	Lee	30.447522	-96.910939	138.97	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-035182	Lee	30.466273	-96.988942	377.46	2	2025	NO	Queen City
i-035216	Lee	30.108066	-96.935574	328.80	2	2025	NO	No Assignment



INTERA ID	County	Latitude	Longitude	Average Water Level (famsl)	Number Years Averaged	Analysis Year	Has Transducer	Aquifer
i-035314	Lee	30.4325	-97.128333	216.44	1	2025	NO	No Assignment
i-000324	Williamson	30.457878	-97.183131	461.55	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050001	Brazos	30.69849548	-96.48861117	216.21	2	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050002	Brazos	30.6988567	-96.45151355	137.06	2	2025	NO	Sparta
i-050003	Brazos	30.72682015	-96.47762263	15.54	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050004	Brazos	30.70578949	-96.48858105	8.81	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050006	Brazos	30.70897878	-96.50677215	-10.55	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050008	Brazos	30.69847122	-96.33110342	238.80	2	2025	NO	Yegua/Jackson
i-050013	Brazos	30.40792566	-96.19400117	172.85	2	2025	NO	Brazos River Alluvium
i-050014	Brazos	30.36524592	-96.26707822	160.05	2	2025	NO	Brazos River Alluvium
i-050016	Brazos	30.70045502	-96.31441336	177.60	1	2025	NO	Sparta
i-050017	Brazos	30.77546001	-96.35343278	198.35	2	2025	NO	Queen City
i-050023	Brazos	30.82097088	-96.41136486	291.25	2	2025	NO	Sparta
i-050024	Brazos	30.91194602	-96.26960209	290.80	2	2025	NO	Queen City
i-050025	Brazos	30.87087307	-96.3815162	283.41	2	2025	NO	Queen City
i-050031	Brazos	30.92836199	-96.227116	265.12	2	2025	NO	Queen City
i-050033	Brazos	30.7229823	-96.42011116	168.83	1	2025	NO	Sparta
i-050034	Brazos	30.72048713	-96.42625	197.68	1	2025	NO	Sparta
i-050035	Brazos	30.71495438	-96.40938916	52.88	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050036	Brazos	30.72263536	-96.42042586	35.91	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050037	Brazos	30.72949295	-96.42982023	21.34	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050038	Brazos	30.74430106	-96.453876	20.57	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050041	Brazos	30.73557071	-96.44688345	0.92	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050048	Brazos	30.78189017	-96.34331227	105.05	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050050	Brazos	30.70022202	-96.45996974	12.20	2	2025	NO	Simsboro



INTERA ID	County	Latitude	Longitude	Average Water Level (famsl)	Number Years Averaged	Analysis Year	Has Transducer	Aquifer
i-050051	Brazos	30.701548	-96.4701419	22.12	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050052	Brazos	30.70030642	-96.4789341	3.27	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050053	Brazos	30.7073265	-96.48085502	36.95	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050054	Brazos	30.69863431	-96.48862339	11.63	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050055	Brazos	30.69721749	-96.49932178	11.92	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050058	Brazos	30.61093583	-96.2599247	214.15	1	2025	NO	Yegua/Jackson
i-050059	Brazos	30.636083	-96.286556	162.34	2	2025	NO	Yegua/Jackson
i-050060	Brazos	30.63674947	-96.28280653	154.06	2	2025	NO	Yegua/Jackson
i-050068	Brazos	30.63375002	-96.53816204	208.53	2	2025	NO	Brazos River Alluvium
i-050069	Brazos	30.68495795	-96.51584905	211.94	2	2025	NO	Sparta
i-050074	Brazos	30.65331948	-96.48549941	152.45	2	2025	NO	Sparta
i-050075	Brazos	30.65116808	-96.49301852	139.63	2	2025	NO	Sparta
i-050076	Brazos	30.64952787	-96.50053034	129.31	2	2025	NO	Sparta
i-050077	Brazos	30.67416538	-96.49617	188.39	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-050078	Brazos	30.65508532	-96.48017009	39.12	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050079	Brazos	30.66664679	-96.49002452	39.07	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050080	Brazos	30.64342617	-96.47189	16.40	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050081	Brazos	30.60159737	-96.43315789	197.50	2	2025	NO	Brazos River Alluvium
i-050082	Brazos	30.64219112	-96.53653741	208.12	2	2025	NO	Sparta
i-050089	Brazos	30.4224543	-96.264267	182.14	2	2025	NO	Brazos River Alluvium
i-050091	Brazos	30.6182601	-96.32857033	147.54	2	2025	NO	Yegua/Jackson
i-050092	Brazos	30.5807781	-96.35641048	161.15	2	2025	NO	Yegua/Jackson
i-050096	Brazos	30.67035904	-96.30227548	168.47	1	2025	NO	Sparta
i-050100	Brazos	30.399721	-96.251666	180.28	2	2025	NO	Brazos River Alluvium
i-050101	Brazos	30.40733677	-96.25988246	178.67	2	2025	NO	Brazos River Alluvium



INTERA ID	County	Latitude	Longitude	Average Water Level (famsl)	Number Years Averaged	Analysis Year	Has Transducer	Aquifer
i-050102	Brazos	30.80621226	-96.3174541	189.45	2	2025	NO	Sparta
i-050104	Brazos	30.42701126	-96.1689776	175.80	2	2025	NO	Brazos River Alluvium
i-050105	Brazos	30.86767305	-96.22482492	243.30	2	2025	NO	Sparta
i-050106	Brazos	30.906031	-96.276686	270.49	2	2025	NO	Queen City
i-050108	Brazos	30.88172532	-96.28223541	232.68	2	2025	NO	Queen City
i-050113	Brazos	30.81093533	-96.314	228.29	2	2025	NO	Sparta
i-050114	Brazos	30.53489896	-96.23205726	213.16	2	2025	NO	Yegua/Jackson
i-050141	Brazos	30.70123712	-96.25950406	200.73	1	2025	NO	Yegua/Jackson
i-050145	Brazos	30.41475139	-96.13358473	174.40	2	2025	NO	Brazos River Alluvium
i-050148	Brazos	30.64883217	-96.37658652	227.95	1	2025	NO	Yegua/Jackson
i-050186	Brazos	30.81563845	-96.36995321	234.51	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-050192	Brazos	30.69175105	-96.5418969	217.66	2	2025	NO	Queen City
i-050275	Brazos	30.62898028	-96.41603592	57.65	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050415	Brazos	30.70723202	-96.432182	198.88	2	2025	NO	Sparta
i-050416	Brazos	30.39444145	-96.2103728	169.41	2	2025	NO	Brazos River Alluvium
i-050422	Brazos	30.68199081	-96.54646186	215.09	2	2025	NO	Sparta
i-050424	Brazos	30.36780002	-96.269151	157.11	2	2025	NO	Brazos River Alluvium
i-050452	Brazos	30.65652199	-96.502478	240.98	2	2025	NO	Queen City
i-050625	Brazos	30.67530804	-96.29981959	178.44	1	2025	NO	Sparta
i-050629	Brazos	30.64839954	-96.40569816	228.17	1	2025	NO	No Assignment
i-050754	Brazos	30.52374265	-96.20632403	194.38	1	2025	NO	No Assignment
i-050760	Brazos	30.484759	-96.305452	228.29	1	2025	NO	No Assignment
i-050763	Brazos	30.483543	-96.308182	202.73	1	2025	NO	No Assignment
i-050764	Brazos	30.698657	-96.217747	200.62	1	2025	NO	No Assignment
i-050765	Brazos	30.928333	-96.237778	250.93	1	2025	NO	Queen City



INTERA ID	County	Latitude	Longitude	Average Water Level (famsl)	Number Years Averaged	Analysis Year	Has Transducer	Aquifer
i-050769	Brazos	30.77183779	-96.29968663	199.70	1	2025	NO	Sparta
i-050770	Brazos	30.50563786	-96.18759568	177.37	1	2025	NO	No Assignment
i-050771	Brazos	30.70046608	-96.31438846	183.45	1	2025	NO	Sparta
i-050788	Brazos	30.55361618	-96.17311876	183.13	1	2025	NO	No Assignment
i-050009	Robertson	30.92483729	-96.735858	196.73	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050010	Robertson	30.862207	-96.410304	324.21	2	2025	NO	Queen City
i-050012	Robertson	31.09763681	-96.55243215	207.28	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050015	Robertson	31.15964901	-96.63584852	296.96	2	2025	NO	Hooper
i-050018	Robertson	31.18747911	-96.5317096	346.32	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050019	Robertson	31.270421	-96.422285	299.10	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050020	Robertson	30.91156585	-96.61927211	284.34	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-050021	Robertson	31.22727619	-96.52692864	400.42	2	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050022	Robertson	31.23173227	-96.53512718	400.08	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050027	Robertson	30.91219902	-96.413107	361.03	2	2025	NO	Queen City
i-050028	Robertson	30.98537903	-96.46427	340.66	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-050029	Robertson	31.21472485	-96.52671705	393.37	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050030	Robertson	30.94978712	-96.2895541	295.30	2	2025	NO	Queen City
i-050042	Robertson	30.88623789	-96.5904338	122.13	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050043	Robertson	30.88568876	-96.61940787	127.49	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050044	Robertson	30.87949104	-96.59872518	114.76	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050045	Robertson	31.05602062	-96.3371146	159.91	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050046	Robertson	31.05089962	-96.42848545	162.94	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050047	Robertson	31.16672087	-96.6375	339.63	1	2025	NO	Hooper
i-050049	Robertson	30.89559064	-96.39170224	113.71	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050056	Robertson	31.04818147	-96.67391656	189.09	2	2025	NO	Simsboro



INTERA ID	County	Latitude	Longitude	Average Water Level (famsl)	Number Years Averaged	Analysis Year	Has Transducer	Aquifer
i-050057	Robertson	31.05086394	-96.66649393	170.77	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050061	Robertson	30.76976735	-96.57750214	230.21	2	2025	NO	Alluvium
i-050062	Robertson	31.1554333	-96.3710333	292.19	2	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050063	Robertson	30.8434854	-96.64961661	241.57	2	2025	NO	Alluvium
i-050064	Robertson	31.32651214	-96.333439	307.00	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050067	Robertson	30.94538482	-96.73231345	269.82	2	2025	NO	Alluvium
i-050070	Robertson	31.16564986	-96.67517086	392.00	2	2025	NO	Hooper
i-050071	Robertson	31.16513622	-96.68291206	395.29	2	2025	NO	Hooper
i-050072	Robertson	31.16551283	-96.65523449	287.39	2	2025	NO	Hooper
i-050073	Robertson	31.15882876	-96.6529025	277.54	2	2025	NO	Hooper
i-050084	Robertson	30.93108201	-96.74708474	206.73	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050085	Robertson	30.81922811	-96.60083506	243.89	2	2025	NO	Alluvium
i-050087	Robertson	30.943395	-96.71025	273.76	2	2025	NO	Alluvium
i-050088	Robertson	31.01452699	-96.74799114	240.19	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050090	Robertson	30.82912613	-96.60320355	242.48	2	2025	NO	Alluvium
i-050093	Robertson	31.04986357	-96.64796702	182.30	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050094	Robertson	31.17919239	-96.489684	180.57	1	2025	NO	Hooper
i-050097	Robertson	31.02819557	-96.48763098	157.76	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050098	Robertson	31.02676986	-96.49232359	158.65	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050099	Robertson	31.02993416	-96.49129373	161.27	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050103	Robertson	30.9644875	-96.70748544	175.60	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050107	Robertson	31.05780428	-96.73838919	282.86	1	2025	NO	Hooper
i-050109	Robertson	30.88583636	-96.62603981	268.01	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-050110	Robertson	31.02264318	-96.63052745	171.44	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050111	Robertson	30.90470039	-96.4160478	359.22	1	2025	NO	Queen City



INTERA ID	County	Latitude	Longitude	Average Water Level (famsl)	Number Years Averaged	Analysis Year	Has Transducer	Aquifer
i-050112	Robertson	31.06435064	-96.74611442	289.26	1	2025	NO	Hooper
i-050116	Robertson	31.09652151	-96.71768748	280.42	1	2025	NO	Hooper
i-050117	Robertson	31.095158	-96.715382	373.86	1	2025	NO	Hooper
i-050118	Robertson	30.7819975	-96.43386428	275.56	2	2025	NO	Sparta
i-050119	Robertson	31.13468801	-96.69715938	373.73	1	2025	NO	Hooper
i-050120	Robertson	31.10237721	-96.458	475.34	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-050121	Robertson	31.14866784	-96.67514403	378.44	2	2025	NO	Hooper
i-050122	Robertson	31.194999	-96.581666	389.20	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050123	Robertson	31.136388	-96.469166	307.20	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050124	Robertson	30.93692557	-96.74163122	199.75	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050125	Robertson	31.26621619	-96.34915827	263.82	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050126	Robertson	31.20578437	-96.54621582	409.55	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050127	Robertson	31.20962182	-96.55881552	419.49	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050128	Robertson	31.20957776	-96.55952252	414.12	2	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050129	Robertson	31.20287088	-96.55607725	406.53	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050130	Robertson	31.23869587	-96.55742691	439.56	2	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050131	Robertson	31.14152401	-96.656253	388.94	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050134	Robertson	30.98192203	-96.46968457	445.59	2	2025	NO	Queen City
i-050135	Robertson	30.97802329	-96.46888347	442.20	1	2025	NO	Queen City
i-050136	Robertson	30.93777099	-96.644952	264.22	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050137	Robertson	30.94313816	-96.74438735	230.98	2	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050139	Robertson	30.99742853	-96.39052061	319.21	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-050140	Robertson	31.02417347	-96.71867741	218.98	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050142	Robertson	31.14866958	-96.67517622	425.43	2	2025	NO	Hooper
i-050143	Robertson	30.70764925	-96.5526199	216.28	2	2025	NO	Alluvium



INTERA ID	County	Latitude	Longitude	Average Water Level (famsl)	Number Years Averaged	Analysis Year	Has Transducer	Aquifer
i-050144	Robertson	30.87001867	-96.66903285	137.97	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050149	Robertson	30.81393781	-96.5219748	258.93	2	2025	NO	Queen City
i-050150	Robertson	30.85170974	-96.50826435	92.15	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050191	Robertson	30.72236221	-96.50884414	-9.48	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050263	Robertson	31.12387502	-96.52287306	226.20	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050264	Robertson	31.23000277	-96.42800529	272.04	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050332	Robertson	30.97502105	-96.67345829	161.70	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050413	Robertson	30.99766555	-96.39045248	446.96	2	2025	NO	Queen City
i-050414	Robertson	31.14579495	-96.55922838	287.93	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050417	Robertson	31.09618356	-96.5569701	410.71	2	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050419	Robertson	31.21444503	-96.54938486	409.92	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050425	Robertson	30.96558259	-96.66163555	269.61	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050432	Robertson	31.2888889	-96.4172222	304.95	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050433	Robertson	30.9435583	-96.4565917	436.47	1	2025	NO	Sparta
i-050434	Robertson	31.130743	-96.521302	343.02	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050435	Robertson	30.88029799	-96.550154	276.67	1	2025	NO	Reklaw
i-050436	Robertson	30.97158852	-96.41390955	314.56	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-050437	Robertson	30.95883298	-96.69118	227.00	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050438	Robertson	30.90853231	-96.52294649	349.75	1	2025	NO	Queen City
i-050439	Robertson	30.98814103	-96.367336	284.72	2	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050440	Robertson	30.89957997	-96.461369	323.08	1	2025	NO	Queen City
i-050441	Robertson	30.97104901	-96.466797	416.99	2	2025	NO	Queen City
i-050442	Robertson	30.96938199	-96.54092686	329.79	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-050443	Robertson	31.03886699	-96.432757	362.12	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-050444	Robertson	31.00899898	-96.393218	378.48	2	2025	NO	Carrizo



INTERA ID	County	Latitude	Longitude	Average Water Level (famsl)	Number Years Averaged	Analysis Year	Has Transducer	Aquifer
i-050445	Robertson	30.97402678	-96.52207201	334.31	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-050446	Robertson	31.01681098	-96.467352	367.81	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-050447	Robertson	30.96194798	-96.690923	233.86	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050448	Robertson	31.16882488	-96.54408685	311.00	1	2025	NO	Hooper
i-050450	Robertson	31.05034439	-96.72697815	247.05	1	2025	NO	Hooper
i-050451	Robertson	30.79127931	-96.51853287	247.97	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-050454	Robertson	31.04583638	-96.67117972	182.21	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050455	Robertson	30.95591377	-96.61630542	146.36	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050457	Robertson	31.05798097	-96.574928	208.33	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050458	Robertson	31.08077176	-96.56178986	205.93	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050459	Robertson	31.25372983	-96.42069263	289.83	2	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050460	Robertson	31.23488799	-96.430621	387.59	2	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050461	Robertson	31.11585103	-96.321287	302.70	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-050462	Robertson	31.066266	-96.35412	324.66	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-050463	Robertson	31.10120886	-96.31115357	311.66	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-050464	Robertson	31.01266402	-96.315707	312.78	2	2025	NO	Queen City
i-050465	Robertson	31.22096799	-96.451882	293.77	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050466	Robertson	30.85132117	-96.60914006	247.04	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050467	Robertson	30.99494978	-96.63435631	194.93	2	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050468	Robertson	30.99876997	-96.63928886	161.29	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050469	Robertson	31.25500573	-96.51299621	362.61	2	2025	NO	Hooper
i-050470	Robertson	31.13051697	-96.307279	259.11	2	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-050471	Robertson	31.20816556	-96.44207081	283.73	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050472	Robertson	31.21576033	-96.43835716	386.77	2	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050473	Robertson	31.178056	-96.459271	276.05	1	2025	NO	Simsboro



INTERA ID	County	Latitude	Longitude	Average Water Level (famsl)	Number Years Averaged	Analysis Year	Has Transducer	Aquifer
i-050474	Robertson	30.96626286	-96.66196044	156.20	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050475	Robertson	31.2631201	-96.38747393	353.32	2	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050476	Robertson	30.9701227	-96.66733713	275.47	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050477	Robertson	31.15330017	-96.50170358	259.07	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050478	Robertson	31.17919799	-96.45378208	360.75	2	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050479	Robertson	31.26367	-96.417321	287.99	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050480	Robertson	30.99493985	-96.63433818	186.64	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050620	Robertson	30.99460938	-96.39951835	312.69	1	2025	NO	Carrizo
i-050622	Robertson	30.99605286	-96.39817538	191.30	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050624	Robertson	30.83392855	-96.64822142	242.76	1	2025	NO	Reklaw
i-050631	Robertson	31.17367497	-96.45660416	265.15	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050634	Robertson	31.24304281	-96.45177026	313.63	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050635	Robertson	31.24440112	-96.45524195	319.61	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050636	Robertson	31.25599172	-96.41745161	290.76	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050637	Robertson	31.24829316	-96.42550549	297.23	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050638	Robertson	31.18267694	-96.45179291	287.06	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050640	Robertson	31.152281	-96.526628	336.65	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050641	Robertson	31.175181	-96.433552	252.15	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050642	Robertson	31.17330857	-96.42881282	246.67	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050643	Robertson	31.203529	-96.423673	377.44	1	2025	NO	No Assignment
i-050644	Robertson	31.18331176	-96.4354978	357.28	1	2025	NO	No Assignment
i-050645	Robertson	31.19428454	-96.43125124	363.93	1	2025	NO	No Assignment
i-050646	Robertson	31.23847399	-96.45080024	309.91	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050647	Robertson	31.19037973	-96.45513021	322.20	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050648	Robertson	31.14096818	-96.30486943	295.66	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff



INTERA ID	County	Latitude	Longitude	Average Water Level (famsl)	Number Years Averaged	Analysis Year	Has Transducer	Aquifer
i-050649	Robertson	30.87560186	-96.58847262	117.41	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050651	Robertson	30.95386275	-96.68868645	165.90	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050652	Robertson	30.90264243	-96.62468382	121.93	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050653	Robertson	30.99591774	-96.68012134	174.53	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050654	Robertson	31.22891828	-96.40869245	360.86	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050655	Robertson	31.10329336	-96.7441417	331.71	1	2025	NO	Hooper
i-050656	Robertson	30.8657844	-96.63552348	118.16	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050657	Robertson	30.84225579	-96.56325822	258.89	1	2025	NO	Queen City
i-050658	Robertson	31.24792897	-96.46037917	333.69	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050664	Robertson	30.9621008	-96.67009114	161.00	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050665	Robertson	30.92400264	-96.67315062	149.78	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050666	Robertson	31.25752516	-96.41077003	287.95	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050669	Robertson	31.25143054	-96.41951275	279.67	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050670	Robertson	31.25202578	-96.41929997	286.94	1	2025	NO	No Assignment
i-050671	Robertson	31.25172184	-96.41900316	287.10	1	2025	NO	No Assignment
i-050672	Robertson	31.14856949	-96.49243162	248.91	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050675	Robertson	31.052814	-96.577819	175.21	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050676	Robertson	30.94660427	-96.68106899	159.88	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050677	Robertson	30.97999357	-96.65509236	157.68	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050678	Robertson	30.98261412	-96.62290856	165.25	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050680	Robertson	30.87111864	-96.63428804	121.16	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050681	Robertson	30.96274177	-96.65937989	157.14	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050684	Robertson	30.87160174	-96.63774576	118.84	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050685	Robertson	30.95355642	-96.71900842	189.52	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050686	Robertson	31.01980354	-96.61181505	161.95	1	2025	NO	Simsboro



INTERA ID	County	Latitude	Longitude	Average Water Level (famsl)	Number Years Averaged	Analysis Year	Has Transducer	Aquifer
i-050687	Robertson	30.95799531	-96.69105769	168.03	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050688	Robertson	31.32511953	-96.34195401	249.47	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050692	Robertson	31.25450175	-96.40652309	375.30	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050694	Robertson	31.25022216	-96.41089517	285.58	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050697	Robertson	30.95295907	-96.6908065	169.45	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050698	Robertson	30.9566417	-96.69089858	203.85	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050699	Robertson	30.95306363	-96.67091164	158.27	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050700	Robertson	30.95469663	-96.70332197	173.69	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050701	Robertson	30.95631154	-96.71663127	198.01	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050702	Robertson	31.00443302	-96.629616	159.81	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050703	Robertson	31.1867223	-96.45472381	280.85	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050705	Robertson	31.00183506	-96.62286837	175.49	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050706	Robertson	30.96812535	-96.64934462	150.27	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050707	Robertson	30.96237999	-96.674672	166.60	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050708	Robertson	31.06353439	-96.53414162	200.69	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050709	Robertson	30.92836615	-96.49005246	358.17	1	2025	NO	Weches
i-050710	Robertson	30.99511306	-96.62839317	156.48	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050711	Robertson	30.99250548	-96.62207005	151.52	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050713	Robertson	30.99382511	-96.62822522	196.35	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050714	Robertson	30.9633673	-96.6973072	167.40	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050716	Robertson	30.95385679	-96.7179058	188.54	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050717	Robertson	30.99652087	-96.62501697	161.91	1	2025	NO	No Assignment
i-050718	Robertson	31.01151161	-96.63885333	162.05	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050719	Robertson	30.96387694	-96.70979713	179.36	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050720	Robertson	31.238305	-96.4524539	412.29	1	2025	NO	Simsboro



INTERA ID	County	Latitude	Longitude	Average Water Level (famsl)	Number Years Averaged	Analysis Year	Has Transducer	Aquifer
i-050721	Robertson	30.98108001	-96.686232	173.53	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050722	Robertson	31.02447496	-96.62682506	166.99	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050724	Robertson	30.98708627	-96.62247855	155.16	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050725	Robertson	31.24090667	-96.45530533	309.53	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050726	Robertson	31.28066952	-96.33699275	324.85	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050728	Robertson	31.067616	-96.34625	337.23	1	2025	NO	Queen City
i-050729	Robertson	31.0383779	-96.60674091	172.42	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050730	Robertson	30.78200057	-96.43387206	230.46	1	2025	NO	No Assignment
i-050745	Robertson	31.31762061	-96.37643177	307.66	1	2025	NO	No Assignment
i-050746	Robertson	31.29950634	-96.4099235	301.23	1	2025	NO	No Assignment
i-050748	Robertson	31.14560603	-96.47786498	236.32	1	2025	NO	No Assignment
i-050752	Robertson	31.06675517	-96.51927212	265.40	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050753	Robertson	31.02426074	-96.31716912	324.09	1	2025	NO	Queen City
i-050755	Robertson	31.15669713	-96.52644382	271.40	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050756	Robertson	31.09507534	-96.53347765	194.08	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050757	Robertson	31.09361262	-96.5365242	304.81	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050758	Robertson	31.18083238	-96.3078105	283.73	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050759	Robertson	30.9365788	-96.3623105	309.53	1	2025	NO	Queen City
i-050761	Robertson	30.84754526	-96.65422793	244.05	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050762	Robertson	30.84806529	-96.65580955	243.89	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050766	Robertson	31.05350352	-96.61779646	199.59	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050767	Robertson	31.2452342	-96.49607498	374.59	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050768	Robertson	30.91923127	-96.48060777	350.39	1	2025	NO	Queen City
i-050772	Robertson	30.92952927	-96.3691793	316.17	1	2025	NO	Queen City
i-050773	Robertson	30.95370307	-96.66892718	266.84	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff



INTERA ID	County	Latitude	Longitude	Average Water Level (famsl)	Number Years Averaged	Analysis Year	Has Transducer	Aquifer
i-050774	Robertson	31.3264637	-96.3334248	307.72	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050775	Robertson	31.05942451	-96.56002331	389.83	1	2025	NO	No Assignment
i-050776	Robertson	31.18426348	-96.62717172	339.98	1	2025	NO	Hooper
i-050777	Robertson	30.95633193	-96.6663276	291.60	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050778	Robertson	30.95613081	-96.66584006	292.75	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050779	Robertson	30.95748401	-96.6669086	291.48	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050780	Robertson	31.25415515	-96.40645006	413.77	1	2025	NO	No Assignment
i-050781	Robertson	31.25444494	-96.40869802	287.34	1	2025	NO	No Assignment
i-050782	Robertson	31.25485363	-96.41194913	290.09	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050783	Robertson	31.25550887	-96.40343112	286.49	1	2025	NO	No Assignment
i-050784	Robertson	31.2597236	-96.40753842	284.33	1	2025	NO	No Assignment
i-050785	Robertson	31.25225863	-96.41334861	288.88	1	2025	NO	No Assignment
i-050786	Robertson	31.25430349	-96.39372669	276.76	1	2025	NO	No Assignment
i-050787	Robertson	31.0465988	-96.62701148	203.71	1	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050789	Robertson	31.27642112	-96.35830236	345.53	1	2025	NO	No Assignment
i-050790	Robertson	30.93221451	-96.69127227	265.30	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050791	Robertson	30.93737588	-96.6763268	272.71	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050792	Robertson	30.91728604	-96.6309384	277.23	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050793	Robertson	30.9406885	-96.6829431	268.06	1	2025	NO	Calvert Bluff
i-050423	Limestone	31.34152551	-96.35147008	316.09	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050456	Limestone	31.3408333	-96.3569444	317.07	2	2025	NO	Simsboro
i-050420	Falls	31.1855776	-96.6935848	403.67	2	2025	NO	Hooper
i-050426	Falls	31.20061228	-96.69611634	409.62	2	2025	NO	Hooper